

ON  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -GENERALIZED CLOSED SETS AND  
 $\theta$ - $\beta$ -GENERALIZED CONTINUITY IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

MIGUEL CALDAS

ABSTRACT. Levine [13] introduced and investigated the notion of generalized closed set. It is the object of the present paper to offer a new notion of generalized closed set called  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -generalized closed set and study its fundamental properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1970, N. Levine [13] introduced the notion of generalized closed sets. By definition, a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called generalized closed set (briefly  $g$ -closed) if  $\text{Cl}(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U$  is open. Noiri [15] and Dontchev and Maki [7] have given independently another new generalization of Levine's  $g$ -closed set by utilizing the  $\theta$ -closure operator. Recall that a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\theta$ -generalized closed (briefly  $\theta$ - $g$ -closed) if  $\text{Cl}_\theta(A) \subset U$ , whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . The concept of  $\theta$ - $g$ -closed sets was applied to the digital line [8]. Note that, in [15], Noiri used the term generalized  $\theta$ -closed sets (briefly  $g\theta$ -closed sets). We introduce a new form of generalized closed set called  $\theta$ - $\beta$  generalized closed set by utilizing  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closure operator. We define the notion of  $\theta$ -generalized  $\Lambda_\beta$ -sets and characterize their properties. We also introduce the notion  $\theta$ - $\beta$  $g$ -continuity and  $\theta$ - $\beta$  $g$ -irresoluteness by using  $\theta$ - $\beta$  $g$ -closed sets and study some of their fundamental properties.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the present paper, spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or simply,  $X$  and  $Y$ ) denote topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Abd El Monsef et al. [1] and Andrijević [2] introduced the notion of  $\beta$ -open set, which Andrijević called semipreopen, completely independent of each other. In this paper, we adopt the word  $\beta$ -open for the sake of clarity. They characterized the most important properties of  $\beta$ -open sets. A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\beta$ -open if  $A \subseteq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)))$ , where  $\text{Cl}(A)$  and  $\text{Int}(A)$  denote the closure and

---

*Received:* November 11, 2010. *Revised:* March 14, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 54C10, 54D10.

*Key words and phrases:*  $\beta$ -open sets,  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -generalized closed sets,  $\Lambda_\beta$ -sets,  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -generalized continuous functions,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closure.

the interior of  $A$ , respectively. The complement of a  $\beta$ -open set is called  $\beta$ -closed. The family of all  $\beta$ -open sets of a space  $X$  is denoted by  $\beta O(X, \tau)$  or  $\beta O(X)$ . We set  $\beta O(X, x) = \{U : x \in U \in \beta O(X)\}$ . The intersection of all  $\beta$ -closed sets containing  $A$  is called the  $\beta$ -closure of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\beta Cl(A)$ . The  $\beta$ -interior ( $\beta Int(A)$ ) of a subset  $A \subset X$  is the union of all  $\beta$ -open sets contained in  $A$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([1], [2]). *The following hold for a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$ :*

- (1)  $\beta Int(A) = A \cap Cl(Int(Cl(A)))$ ;
- (2)  $\beta Cl(A) = A \cup Int(Cl(Int(A)))$ ;
- (3)  $x \in \beta Cl(A)$  if and only if  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $O \in \beta O(X, x)$ ;
- (4)  $\beta Cl(X \setminus A) = X \setminus \beta Int(A)$ ;
- (5)  $A$  is  $\beta$ -closed if and only if  $A = \beta Cl(A)$ .

Now we begin to recall some known notions which will be used in the sequel.

**Definition 2.1.** [17] Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . The  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closure of  $A$ ,  $\beta Cl_\theta(A)$ , is the set of all  $x \in X$  such that  $\beta Cl(O) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $O \in \beta O(X, x)$ .  $A$  is called  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed if  $A = \beta Cl_\theta(A)$ . The set  $\{x \in X \mid \beta Cl_\theta(O) \subset A \text{ for some } O \in \beta O(X, x)\}$  is called the  $\beta$   $\theta$ -interior of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\beta Int_\theta(A)$ . A subset  $A$  is called  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open if  $A = \beta Int_\theta(A)$ .

The following theorem is known and given by Noiri [17].

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any subset  $A$  of  $X$ :*

- (1)  $\beta Cl_\theta(\beta Cl_\theta(A)) = \beta Cl_\theta(A)$ ;
- (2)  $\beta Cl_\theta(A)$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed;
- (3) Intersection of arbitrary collection of  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set in  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed;
- (4)  $\beta Cl_\theta(A)$  is the intersection of all  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed sets each containing  $A$ ;
- (5) If  $A \in \beta O(X)$ , then  $\beta Cl(A) = \beta Cl_\theta(A)$ .

For other advances on topological spaces obtained by our research group we recommend [4, 5, 11].

### 3. $\theta$ - $\beta$ -GENERALIZED CLOSED SETS

**Definition 3.1.** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -generalized closed (briefly  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed) if  $\beta Cl_\theta(A) \subset O$  whenever  $A \subset O$  and  $O \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ .

The complement of a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -generalized closed set is called  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -generalized open (briefly  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open).

**Lemma 3.1.** *The following statements hold true (in each case, the converse implications are not true):*

- (i) Every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed.
- (ii) [17] Every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set is  $\beta$ -closed.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $A \subset X$  be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set. Then  $A = \beta Cl_\theta(A)$ . Let  $A \subset O$  and  $O \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ . It follows that  $\beta Cl_\theta(A) \subset O$ . This means that  $A$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed.

- (ii) See Remark 3.2 in [17]. □

**Example 3.1.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and let  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{c, d\}, X\}$ . If  $A = \{a, b, d\}$ , then  $\beta\text{Cl}(A) = X$  and so  $A$  is not  $\beta$ -closed. Hence  $A$  is not  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed. Since  $X$  is the only  $\beta$ -open set containing  $A$ ,  $A$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -g-closed.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and let  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . If consider  $A = \{c\}$ , then  $A$  is a  $\beta$ -closed set which is not  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed.

A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\beta$ -generalized closed set (briefly  $\beta$ -g-closed) if  $\beta\text{Cl}(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ . Note that this notion is particular case of generalized  $(m1, m2)$ -closed set, introduced by Noiri [16]. A subset  $B$  is said to be  $\beta$ -generalized open (briefly  $\beta$ -g-open) in  $(X, \tau)$  if its complement  $B^c = X \setminus B$  is  $\beta$ -g-closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *The following statements hold true (in each case, the converse implications are not true):*

- (i) *Every  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -g-closed set is  $\beta$ -g-closed.*
- (ii) *Every  $\beta$ -closed set is  $\beta$ -g-closed.*

*Proof.* (i) It is true that  $\beta\text{Cl}(A) \subset \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$  for every subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$ .

(ii) Let  $A \subset X$ , be  $\beta$ -closed. Then  $A = \beta\text{Cl}(A)$ . Let  $A \subset O$  and  $O$  be  $\beta$ -open. Then  $\beta\text{Cl}(A) \subset O$ . Hence  $A$  is  $\beta$ -g-closed. □

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and let  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Set  $A = \{c\}$ .  $A$  is  $\beta$ -closed since it is closed and therefore  $\beta$ -g-closed. Set  $O = \{a, c\}$ . But  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$  is not a subset of  $O$ , where  $O \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $A$  is not  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -g-closed.

**Remark 3.1.** Note that  $\beta$ -closedness is independent of  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -g-closedness as it is showed in the Example 3.1 and Example 3.3.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\beta$ -open subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The set  $A$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -g-closed if and only if  $A$  is  $\beta$ -g-closed.*

*Proof.* It follows from the fact that  $\beta\text{Cl}(A) = \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$  for every  $A \in \beta O(X, \tau)$  (Theorem 2.1, [5]). □

Recall that a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\theta$ -generalized closed set (briefly  $\theta$ -g-closed set) [7] if  $\text{Cl}_\theta(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

It should be noted that  $\theta$ -g-closedness is independent of  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -g-closedness as it is shown in the following two examples.

**Example 3.4.**  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -g-closedness does not imply  $\theta$ -g-closedness in general. To show this, let us consider the set  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . We have  $\beta O(X, \tau) = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . The  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$  are  $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ . The set  $\{c\}$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -g-closed since it is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed but it is not  $\theta$ -g-closed.

**Example 3.5.**  $\theta$ -g-closedness does not imply  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -g-closedness in general. Consider  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  and  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . Let  $A = \{a, b, c, e\}$  be a

subset of  $X$ . Then  $A$  is a  $\theta$ -g-closed set since  $X$  is its only open superset. But  $A$  is not  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed since  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$  is not a subset of  $O = \{a, b, c, e\}$ , where  $O \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\beta$ g-closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $\beta\text{Cl}(A) \setminus A$  does not contain a nonempty  $\beta$ -closed set.*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a  $\beta$ -closed set such that  $F \subset \beta\text{Cl}(A) \setminus A$ . Clearly  $A \subset F^c$ , where  $A$  is  $\beta$ g-closed and  $F^c$  is  $\beta$ -open. Thus  $\beta\text{Cl}(A) \subset F^c$ , or equivalently  $F \subset (\beta\text{Cl}(A))^c$ . Since by assumption  $F \subset \beta\text{Cl}(A)$ , then  $F \subset (\beta\text{Cl}(A))^c \cap \beta\text{Cl}(A) = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $F$  coincides with the void-set.  $\square$

Recall (Definition 3.1) that the complement of a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -generalized closed set is called  $\theta$ - $\beta$ -generalized open (briefly  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open).

**Theorem 3.1.** *A set  $A \subset (X, \tau)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open if and only if  $F \subset \beta\text{Int}_\theta(A)$  whenever  $F$  is  $\beta$ -closed in  $X$  and  $F \subset A$ .*

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $A$  be  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open and  $F \subset A$ , where  $F$  is  $\beta$ -closed. It is obvious that  $A^c$  is contained in  $F^c$ . This implies that  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A^c) \subset F^c$ . Hence  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A^c) = (\beta\text{Int}_\theta(A))^c \subset F^c$ , i.e.  $F \subset \beta\text{Int}_\theta(A)$ .

*Sufficiency.* If  $F$  is a  $\beta$ -closed set with  $F \subset \beta\text{Int}_\theta(A)$  whenever  $F \subset A$ , then it follows that  $A^c \subset F^c$  and  $(\beta\text{Int}_\theta(A))^c \subset F^c$ , that is  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A^c) \subset F^c$ . Therefore  $A^c$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed and  $A$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open.  $\square$

Recall that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  [3] if and only if every  $\beta$ g-closed set is  $\beta$ -closed.

Next we have some new characterizations of  $\beta$ - $T_{1/2}$  spaces.

**Theorem 3.2.** *For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $T_{1/2}$ .
- (ii) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is  $\beta$ -closed or  $\beta$ -open.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Suppose that for some  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is not  $\beta$ -closed. Then  $\{x\}^c$  is not  $\beta$ -open. Since  $X$  is the only  $\beta$ -open containing  $\{x\}^c$ , the set  $\{x\}^c$  is  $\beta$ g-closed and so it is  $\beta$ -closed in the  $\beta$ - $T_{1/2}$  space  $(X, \tau)$ . Therefore  $\{x\}^c$  is  $\beta$ -closed or equivalently  $\{x\}$  is  $\beta$ -open.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $A \subset X$  be  $\beta$ g-closed. Let  $x \in \beta\text{Cl}(A)$ . We will show that  $x \in A$ . By the hypothesis, the singleton  $\{x\}$  is either  $\beta$ -closed or  $\beta$ -open. We consider these two cases.

Case 1.  $\{x\}$  is  $\beta$ -closed: Then if  $x \notin A$ , there exists a  $\beta$ -closed set in  $\beta\text{Cl}(A) \setminus A$ . By Lemma 3.4  $x \in A$ .

Case 2.  $\{x\}$  is  $\beta$ -open: Since  $x \in \beta\text{Cl}(A)$ , then  $\{x\} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $x \in A$ .

Hence in both cases, we have  $x \in A$ , that is  $\beta\text{Cl}(A) \subset A$  or equivalently  $A$  is  $\beta$ -closed since the inclusion  $A \subset \beta\text{Cl}(A)$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.**  $\beta O(X, \tau) \subset \beta GO(X, \tau)$ , where  $\beta GO(X, \tau)$  is the family of all  $\beta$ g-open sets of  $(X, \tau)$ .

Let  $A$  be  $\beta$ -open. Then  $A^c$  is  $\beta$ -closed and  $\beta$ g-closed (Lemma 3.2). This implies  $A$  is  $\beta$ g-open. Hence  $\beta O(X, \tau) \subset \beta GO(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ - $T_{1/2}$  if and only if holds the equality  $\beta O(X, \tau) = \beta GO(X, \tau)$ .*

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $(X, \tau)$  be  $\beta$ - $T_{1/2}$  topological space. Let  $A \in \beta GO(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A^c$  is  $\beta$ g-closed. By hypothesis,  $A^c$  is  $\beta$ -closed and thus  $A \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $\beta O(X, \tau) = \beta GO(X, \tau)$ .

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\beta O(X, \tau) = \beta GO(X, \tau)$ . Let  $A$  be  $\beta$ g-closed. Then  $A^c$  is  $\beta$ g-open. Hence  $A^c \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ . Thus  $A$  is  $\beta$ -closed. Therefore  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ - $T_{1/2}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.**  *$(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  topological space if and only if every  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set is  $\beta$ -closed.*

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $A \subset X$  be a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed. By Lemma 3.2,  $A$  is  $\beta$ g-closed. Since  $X$  is a  $\beta$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  space,  $A$  is  $\beta$ -closed.

*Sufficiency.* Let  $x \in X$ . If  $\{x\}$  is not  $\beta$ -closed, then  $\{x\}^c$  is not  $\beta$ -open and thus the only superset of  $\{x\}^c$  is  $X$ . Trivially  $\{x\}^c$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed. By hypothesis  $\{x\}^c$  is  $\beta$ -closed or equivalently  $\{x\}$  is  $\beta$ -open. Hence  $X$  is a  $\beta$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  space.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then,*

- (i)  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(A) \setminus A$  does not contain a nonempty  $\beta$ -closed set.
- (ii)  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(A) \setminus A$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $F$  be a  $\beta$ -closed set such that  $F \subset \beta Cl_{\theta}(A) \setminus A$ . Since  $F^c$  is  $\beta$ -open and  $A \subset F^c$ , it follows that  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(A) \subset F^c$ , i.e.  $F \subset (\beta Cl_{\theta}(A))^c$ . This implies that  $F \subset (\beta Cl_{\theta}(A))^c \cap \beta Cl_{\theta}(A) = \emptyset$ .

(ii) If  $A$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed and  $F$  is a  $\beta$ -closed set such that  $F \subset \beta Cl_{\theta}(A) \setminus A$ , then by (i),  $F$  is empty and therefore  $F \subset \beta Int_{\theta}(\beta Cl_{\theta}(A) \setminus A)$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(A) \setminus A$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *If the set  $A$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subset B \subset \beta Cl_{\theta}(A)$ , then  $B$  is also a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $O$  be a  $\beta$ -open set of the topological space  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $B \subset O$ . Then  $A \subset O$ . Since  $A$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed, we obtain  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(A) \subset O$ . By using Theorem 2.1  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(B) \subset \beta Cl_{\theta}(\beta Cl_{\theta}(A)) = \beta Cl_{\theta}(A) \subset O$ . Therefore  $B$  is also a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ .  $\square$

Recall that in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\Lambda_{\beta}$ -set if  $A = A^{\Lambda_{\beta}}$ , where  $A^{\Lambda_{\beta}} = \cap \{O \mid A \subset O, O \in \beta O(X, \tau)\}$  [6].

We now define a generalized  $\Lambda_{\beta}$ -set and  $\theta$ -generalized  $\Lambda_{\beta}$ -set as follows:

**Definition 3.2.** (i) A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a *generalized  $\Lambda_{\beta}$ -set* (briefly g. $\Lambda_{\beta}$ -set) if  $A^{\Lambda_{\beta}} \subset F$  whenever  $A \subset F$  and  $F$  is a  $\beta$ -closed set of  $X$ .  
 (ii) A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  *$\theta$ -generalized  $\Lambda_{\beta}$ -set* (briefly

$\theta$ - $g$ - $\Lambda_\beta$ -set) if  $A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta} \subset F$ , whenever  $A \subset F$  and  $F$  is a  $\beta$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta} = \{x \in X \mid \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(A) = \cap\{O \mid A \subset O, O \text{ is } \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-open}\}$  for any subset  $A \subset (X, \tau)$ . Then  $A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta} = \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(A)$  and suppose that  $x \notin A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta}$ . Then  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Hence  $x \notin X \setminus \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\})$ , where  $X \setminus \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\})$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set containing  $A$  by Theorem 2.1. But this is impossible since  $x \in \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(A)$ . Consequently  $x \in A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta}$ . Now, if  $x \in A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta}$  and  $x \notin \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(A)$ , then there exists a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set  $O$  containing  $A$  such that  $x \notin O$ . Assume that  $y \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap A$ . Thus  $y \in O$  and  $x \notin O$ . But this is a contradiction and we obtain the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *The following statements hold true:*

- (i) *For any set  $A \subset X$ ,  $A \subset A^{\Lambda_\beta} \subset A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta} \subset \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$ .*
- (ii) *Every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set is a  $\Lambda_\beta$ -set.*
- (iii) *Every  $\beta$ g-closed  $\Lambda_\beta$ -set is  $\beta$ -closed.*
- (iv) *Every  $\theta$ - $g$ - $\Lambda_\beta$ -set is a  $g$ - $\Lambda_\beta$ -set.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $A$  be a subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . It is clear that  $A \subset A^{\Lambda_\beta}$ . Now we prove that  $A^{\Lambda_\beta} \subset A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta}$ . Suppose that  $x$  is not a point of  $A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta}$ . It follows that  $A \subset X \setminus \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\})$  ( $= O$ , say). Since  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\})$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed by Theorem 2.1, so  $O$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open. Hence by Lemma 3.1  $O$  is  $\beta$ -open. Therefore, there exists a  $\beta$ -open set  $O$  containing  $A$  but not  $x$ , i.e.  $x \notin A^{\Lambda_\beta}$ . This shows that  $A^{\Lambda_\beta} \subset A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta}$ .

Proving  $A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta} \subset \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$ , let  $x \in A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta}$ . Suppose that  $x \notin \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$ . Then, there exists a  $\beta$ -open set  $O$  containing  $x$  such that  $\beta\text{Cl}(O) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Since  $O$  is a  $\beta$ -open subset of  $X$ , it follows that  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(O) = \beta\text{Cl}(O)$ . Hence  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(O) \cap A = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $A \subset X \setminus \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(O)$ . Therefore  $x \notin X \setminus \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(O)$ , where  $X \setminus \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(O)$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set containing  $A$ . But this is impossible since  $x \in A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta}$  by Proposition 3.1. Consequently  $x \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$ .

(ii) Since  $A^{\Lambda_\beta} \subset \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$  and  $A = \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$ , then  $A^{\Lambda_\beta} = A$ . Thus  $A$  is a  $\Lambda_\beta$ -set.

(iii) Let  $A$  be a  $\Lambda_\beta$ -set  $\beta$ g-closed subset of  $X$ . Suppose that  $x \notin A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta}$ . So there exists a  $\beta$ -open subset  $O$  such that  $A \subseteq O$  with  $x \notin O$ . This means that  $x \notin \beta\text{Cl}(A)$  since  $A$  is  $\beta$ g-closed. Then  $\beta\text{Cl}(A) \subset A^{\Lambda_\beta} = A$ . This means that  $A$  is  $\beta$ -closed.

(iv) Let  $A \subset F$ , where  $F$  is  $\beta$ -closed. Then we have  $A^{\Lambda_\beta} \subset A_\theta^{\Lambda_\beta} \subset F$ . This implies that  $A$  is a  $g$ - $\Lambda_\beta$ -set.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $x \in X$ . The the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $y \in \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\})$ ;
- (2)  $x \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y \notin \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\})$ . It follows that there exists a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $y \notin U$ . This means that  $x \notin \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ . The converse can be proved by the same token.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** By Proposition 3.1, we get  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(A) = \{x \in X \mid \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *The following statements are equivalent for any points  $x$  and  $y$  in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ :*

- (1)  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\})$ ;
- (2)  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\})$ . Then there exists a point  $z$  in  $X$  such that  $z \in \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\})$  and  $z \notin \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\})$ . By  $z \in \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\})$ , it follows that  $\{x\} \cap \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{z\}) \neq \emptyset$ . This implies  $x \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{z\})$ . By  $z \notin \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\})$ , we obtain  $\{y\} \cap \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{z\}) = \emptyset$ . Since  $x \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{z\})$ ,  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \subset \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{z\})$  and  $\{y\} \cap \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) = \emptyset$ . Hence it follows that  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ . Now  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\})$  implies that  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ . Then there exists a point  $z$  in  $X$  such that  $z \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\})$  and  $z \notin \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ . This means that there exists a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set containing  $z$  and therefore  $x$  but not  $y$ , such that  $y \notin \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\})$ . Hence  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\})$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_0$  space if every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set contains the  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closure of each of its singletons.

The space in Example 3.4 is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_0$  space.

**Theorem 3.5.** *A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_0$  space if and only for any  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ ,  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\}) \Rightarrow \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_0$  and  $x, y \in X$  such that  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ . Then, there exists  $z \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\})$  such that  $z \notin \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$  (or  $z \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$  such that  $z \notin \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\})$ ). There exists  $V \in \beta O(X, \tau)$  such that  $y \notin V$  and  $z \in V$ ; hence  $x \in V$ . Therefore, we have  $x \notin \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ . Thus  $x \in X \setminus \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ , which implies  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \subset X \setminus \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$  and  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ . The proof for the other case is similar.

Sufficiency. Let  $V$  be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open and let  $x \in V$ . We will show that  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \subset V$ . Let  $y \notin V$ , such that  $y \in X \setminus V$ . Then  $x \neq y$  and  $x \notin \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ . This shows that  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ . By assumption  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $y \notin \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\})$ . Therefore  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \subset V$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_0$  space if and only if for any points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ ,  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\}) \Rightarrow \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_0$  space. By Lemma 3.8, we find out that for any points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , if  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\})$ , then  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ . Now we prove that  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ . In this respect, we assume that  $z \in \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\})$ . By  $z \in \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\})$  and Lemma 3.7, it follows that

$x \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{z\})$ . Since  $x \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\})$ , by Theorem 3.5  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) = \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{z\})$ . Similarly, we have  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\}) = \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{z\}) = \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\})$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore, we have  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ .

To prove the converse, let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space such that for any points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ ,  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\})$  implies  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ . If  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$ , then by Lemma 3.8,  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \neq \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\})$ . Hence  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\}) = \emptyset$  which implies  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ . Now, because  $z \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\})$  implies  $x \in \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{z\})$ , therefore  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{z\}) \neq \emptyset$ . By hypothesis, we have  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) = \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{z\})$ . Then  $z \in \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\})$  implies  $\beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{x\}) = \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{z\}) = \beta\text{Ker}_\theta(\{y\})$  and this is a contradiction. Hence,  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{x\}) \cap \beta\text{Cl}_\theta(\{y\}) = \emptyset$  and by Theorem 3.25  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_0$  space.  $\square$

#### 4. $\theta$ - $\beta$ GENERALIZED CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

**Definition 4.1.** A function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called

(i)  $\theta$ - $\beta$  *generalized continuous* (briefly  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-continuous) if  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed in  $(X, \tau)$  for every  $\beta$ -closed set  $F$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

(ii)  $\theta$ - $\beta$  *generalized irresolute* (briefly  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-irresolute) if  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed in  $(X, \tau)$  for every  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set  $F$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

Note that a function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be *pre  $\beta$ -open* [14] (resp. *pre  $\beta$ -closed* [14]) if  $f(U) \in \beta\mathcal{O}(Y, \sigma)$  for every  $U \in \beta\mathcal{O}(X, \tau)$  (resp. if  $f(U)$  is  $\beta$ -closed for every  $\beta$ -closed set  $U$ ).

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a bijective pre  $\beta$ -open and  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-continuous function, then  $f$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-irresolute.*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Assume that  $f^{-1}(F) \subset O$ , where  $O \in \beta\mathcal{O}(X, \tau)$ . Clearly  $F \subset f(O)$ . Since  $f(O) \in \beta\mathcal{O}(Y, \sigma)$  and  $F$  is a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set, we have  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(F) \subset f(O)$  and therefore  $f^{-1}(\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(F)) \subset O$ . By the fact that  $f$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-continuous and  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(F)$  is  $\beta$ -closed (Lemma 3.1), it follows that  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(f^{-1}(\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(F))) \subset O$  and thus  $\beta\text{Cl}_\theta(f^{-1}(F)) \subset O$ . This means that  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed in  $(X, \tau)$  and therefore  $f$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-irresolute.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** *If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a bijective pre  $\beta$ -open  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-continuous function and  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to apply Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Definition 4.2.** A function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed if for every  $\beta$ -closed set  $F$  of  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $f(F)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

Recall that a function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $\beta$ -irresolute [14] if  $f^{-1}(V) \in \beta\mathcal{O}(X, \tau)$  for every  $V \in \beta\mathcal{O}(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute and  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed, then  $f(A)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed in  $Y$  for a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set  $A$  of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set of  $X$ . Assume that  $f(A) \subset O$  where  $O \in \beta O(Y)$ . Clearly  $A \subset f^{-1}(O)$ . Since  $f^{-1}(O) \in \beta O(X)$  and  $A$  be a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set, we have  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(A) \subset f^{-1}(O)$  and therefore  $f(\beta Cl_{\theta}(A)) \subset O$ . Since  $f$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed and  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(A)$  is  $\beta$ -closed, it follows that  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(f(\beta Cl_{\theta}(A))) \subset O$  and thus  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(f(A)) \subset O$ . Thus means that  $f(A)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed.  $\square$

**Definition 4.3.** A function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be *quasi- $\beta$ -irresolute* [17] (resp. *strongly  $\beta$ -irresolute* [17]) if for each  $x \in X$  and each  $V \in \beta O(Y, f(x))$ , there exists  $U \in \beta O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset \beta Cl(V)$  (resp.  $f(\beta Cl(U)) \subset V$ ).

Note that, in [17], quasi- $\beta$ -irresolute function is defined as weakly- $\beta$ -irresolute.

**Theorem 4.3.** [17] *Let  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a function, then:*

- i)  *$f$  is quasi- $\beta$ -irresolute if and only if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed (resp.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open) in  $(X, \tau)$  for every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed (resp.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open) set  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$*
- ii)  *$f$  is quasi- $\beta$ -irresolute if and only if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\beta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  for every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$*
- iii)  *$f$  is strongly- $\beta$ -irresolute if and only if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed (resp.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open) in  $(X, \tau)$  for every  $\beta$ -closed (resp.  $\beta$ -open) set  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$*

**Theorem 4.4.** *If the function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is strongly  $\beta$ -irresolute then  $f$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-continuous.*

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-irresolute function. Then  $f$  is quasi- $\beta$ -irresolute if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $V$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $V$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since  $f$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-irresolute,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\beta$ -closed. This shows that  $f$  is quasi- $\beta$ -irresolute (Theorem 4.3).  $\square$

**Definition 4.4.** A function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called *pre- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open* (resp. *pre- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed*) if  $f(U)$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed) set of  $(Y, \sigma)$  for every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed) set  $U$  of  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *If a function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is quasi- $\beta$ -irresolute and pre- $\beta$ -closed, then for every  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set  $F$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$ ,  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set of  $(X, \tau)$  (i.e.,  $f$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-irresolute).*

*Proof.* Suppose the set  $F$  is a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Assume  $f^{-1}(F) \subset O$ , where  $O \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ . By hypothesis, the function  $f$  is quasi- $\beta$ -irresolute and therefore  $f(\beta Cl_{\theta}(f^{-1}(F)) \cap O^c) \subset \beta Cl_{\theta}(f(f^{-1}(F))) \cap f(f^{-1}(F^c)) \subset \beta Cl_{\theta}(F) \setminus F$ . By the fact that  $f$  is pre- $\beta$ -closed, it follows that  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(F) \setminus F$  contains a  $\beta$ -closed subset  $f(\beta Cl_{\theta}(f^{-1}(F)) \cap O^c)$ . Now we have  $f(\beta Cl_{\theta}(f^{-1}(F)) \cap O^c) = \emptyset$  by Lemma 3.5. This implies that  $\beta Cl_{\theta}(f^{-1}(F)) \subset O$ . Therefore, this shows that  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** *If a function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute and pre- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed, then for every  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set  $D$  of  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $f(D)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D$  is a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ . Assume  $f(D) \subset O$ , where  $O \in \beta O(Y, \sigma)$ . Now  $D \subset f^{-1}(O)$  and since  $f$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute  $f^{-1}(O) \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ . But  $D$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed and therefore  $\beta \text{Cl}_\theta(D) \subset f^{-1}(O)$ . Thus  $f(\beta \text{Cl}_\theta(D)) \subset O$ . Now we have  $\beta \text{Cl}_\theta(f(D)) \subset \beta \text{Cl}_\theta(f(\beta \text{Cl}_\theta(D))) = f(\beta \text{Cl}_\theta(D)) \subset O$ . This shows that  $f(D)$  is a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8.** *If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is surjective, quasi- $\beta$ -irresolute and pre- $\beta$ -closed, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $A$  is a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed subset of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then by Theorem 4.6 we have  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\beta$ -closed and hence,  $A$  is  $\beta$ -closed. It follows that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ .  $\square$

Underline that:

i) If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-irresolute function and  $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \mu)$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-continuous, then  $g \circ f$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-continuous.

ii) If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  and  $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \mu)$  are  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-irresolute, then  $g \circ f$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-irresolute.

Recall that a topological space  $X$  is said to be  $\beta$ -connected [18] if  $X$  can not be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty  $\beta$ -open sets. For other properties of  $\beta$ -connected spaces see [12].

We now have the following,

**Definition 4.5.** A topological space  $X$  is said to be  $T\beta GO$ -connected (resp.  $\beta GO$ -connected) if  $X$  can not be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open (resp.  $\beta$ g-open) sets.

**Theorem 4.9.** *For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is  $T\beta GO$ -connected;
- (2) the only subsets of  $X$  both  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed and  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open are  $\emptyset$  and  $X$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose that  $A$  is a  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed and  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open subset of  $X$ . Then the complement of  $A$ , i.e.  $X \setminus A$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed and  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open. By the fact that  $X = A \cup (X \setminus A)$  and  $A \cap (X \setminus A) = \emptyset$ , either  $A$  is empty or  $A = X$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $X = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open sets and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $A$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open and  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed. By hypothesis either  $A$  is empty or  $A = X$ . This implies that  $X$  is  $T\beta GO$ -connected.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is  $\beta GO$ -connected;
- (2)  $X$  is  $T\beta GO$ -connected;
- (3)  $X$  is  $\beta$ -connected.

**Example 4.1.** Let us remark that the space  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topology  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  is connected. Since  $\{b\}$  is both  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open and  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-closed,  $(X, \tau)$  is neither  $T\beta GO$ -connected nor  $\beta GO$ -connected nor  $\beta$ -connected.

**Theorem 4.11.** *The following statements hold true:*

(1) *If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a surjective  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-continuous function and  $X$  is  $T\beta$ GO-connected, then  $Y$  is  $\beta$ -connected;*

(2) *If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a surjective  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-irresolute function and the set  $X$  is  $T\beta$ GO-connected, then  $Y$  is  $T\beta$ GO-connected.*

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $Y$  is not  $\beta$ -connected. There exist non-empty  $\beta$ -open sets  $V$  and  $W$  of  $Y$  such that  $V \cup W = Y$  and  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  is  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-continuous and surjective  $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$  where  $f^{-1}(A)$  and  $f^{-1}(B)$  are disjoint non-empty and  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-open in  $X$ . This contradicts the fact that  $X$  is  $T\beta$ GO-connected.

(2) The argument is a minor modification of the proof (i). □

## 5. CONCLUSION

Maps have always been of tremendous importance in all branches of mathematics and the whole science. On the other hand, topology plays a significant role in quantum physics, high energy physics and superstring theory [9, 10]. Thus we have obtained a new class of mappings called  $\theta$ - $\beta$ g-continuous which may have possible application in quantum physics, high energy physics and superstring theory.

**Acknowledgement.** The author is very grateful to the referees and editor for their helpful comments and suggestions. This paper could not have been refined without their help.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. E. Abd. El-Monsef, S. N. EL-Deeb and R. A. Mahmoud:  *$\beta$ -open and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings*, Bull. Fac. Sci., Sect. A, Assiut Univ., **12**(1983), 77-90.
- [2] D. Andrijević: *Semi-preopen sets*, Mat. Vesn., **38**(1986), 24-32.
- [3] M. Caldas and S. Jafari: *Weak and strong forms of  $\beta$ -irresoluteness*, Arabian J. Sci. Engng., **31**(2006), 31-39.
- [4] M. Caldas and S. Jafari: *More on  $\theta$ -compact spaces*, J. Adv. Math. Stud., **1**(2008), No. 1-2, 33-42.
- [5] M. Caldas and G. Navalagi: *Weak forms of  $\beta$ -open functions between topological spaces*, Anal. Şt. Univ. Al. I. Cuza, Iaşi Mat., **49**(2003), 115-128.
- [6] M. Caldas and S. Jafari: *New notions via  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed sets*. Submitted.
- [7] J. Dontchev and H. Maki: *On  $\theta$ -generalized closed sets*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **22**(1999), 239-249.
- [8] J. Dontchev and H. Maki: *Groups of  $\theta$ -generalized homeomorphisms and the digital line*, Toplogy and its Applications, **95**(1999), 113-128.
- [9] M. S. El-Naschie: *Wild topology, hyperbolic geometriy and fusion algebra of high energy particle physics*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, **13**(2002), 1935-1945.
- [10] M. S. El-Naschie: *Topics in the mathematical physics of  $\varepsilon^\infty$  theory*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, **30**(2006), No. 3, 656-663.
- [11] E. Hatir and S. Jafari: *On some new classes of sets and a new decomposition of continuity via grills*, J. Adv. Math. Stud., **3**(2010), No. 1, 33-40.

- [12] S. Jafari and T. Noiri: *Properties of  $\beta$ -connected spaces*, Acta Math. Hung., **101**(2003), 227-236.
- [13] N. Levine: *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circolo. Mat. Palermo, **19**(1970), No. 2, 89-96.
- [14] R. A. Mahmoud and M. E. Abd El-Monsef:  *$\beta$ -irresolute and  $\beta$ -topological invariant*, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci., **27**(1990), 285-296.
- [15] T. Noiri: *Generalized  $\theta$ -closed sets of almost paracompact spaces*, Tour. of Math. & Comp. Sci. (Math. Ser.), **9**(1996), 157-161.
- [16] T. Noiri: *A unified theory of generalized closed sets*, The 6-th Meeting of Topological Spaces Theory and Their Applications, Aug., **18-19**(2001), Yatsushiro College of Technology, 27-34.
- [17] T. Noiri: *Weak and strong forms of  $\beta$ -irresolute functions*, Acta Math. Hung., **99**(2003), 315-328.
- [18] V. Popa and T. Noiri: *Weakly  $\beta$ -continuous functions*, Anal. Univ. Timișoara, Ser. Mat. Inform., **32**(1994), 83-92.

*Universidade Federal Fluminense*  
*Departamento de Matemática Aplicada*  
*Rua Mário Santos Braga, s/n - CEP: 24020-140, Niteroi-RJ, Brasil*  
*E-mail address: gmamccs@vm.uff.br*