

## STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUALITIES AND GLOBAL LIMITS

MIRCEA BODNARIU

*Dedicated to Professor Ștefan Mititelu  
on the occasion of his seventieth birthday*

ABSTRACT. This paper is a completion of [1]. For some stochastic processes we define a new kind of limit, the global limit, which allows us to find stochastic differential equalities. We show that the temporal derivative and the  $B$ -derivatives defined in [1] are global limits and we use the global limit to establish the generalized Itô formula.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{K}, P)$  be a probability space,  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of real numbers and

$$B: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, \quad B = (B_1, \dots, B_m),$$

where for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $B_i$  is a stochastic process with continuous paths. In [1] we said that  $B$  satisfies ‘the  $H$  hypothesis’ if there exists  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , a class of stochastic processes defined on  $[0, \infty)^2 \times \Omega$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  valued which has some properties (see [1]). We denoted  $\mathcal{H}_B^n = \{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \mid f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{H}_B\}$  and for  $f, g: [0, \infty)^2 \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $f - g \in \mathcal{H}_B^n$ , we wrote  $f \stackrel{B}{\equiv} g$ . In particular,  $h \in \mathcal{H}_B^n$  iff  $h \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ .

If  $F: \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{q_k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a function,  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  and  $a_i: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ ,  $X_j: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{q_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , are stochastic processes, we said that

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_p, dX_1, \dots, dX_k) \stackrel{\theta}{\equiv} 0$$

if  $h_\theta \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ , where

$$h_\theta: [0, \infty)^2 \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad h_\theta(t, s) = F(a_1(\theta_{ts}), \dots, a_p(\theta_{ts}), \Delta_{ts}X_1, \dots, \Delta_{ts}X_k),$$

$\theta_{ts} = \theta(t - s) + s$ ,  $\Delta_{ts}X_i = X_i(t) - X_i(s)$ . We called the equality above *stochastic differential  $\theta$ -equality*.

If  $\theta = 0$ , we called it *Itô stochastic differential equality* and we wrote

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_p, dX_1, \dots, dX_k) \stackrel{I}{\equiv} 0.$$

---

*Received:* November 10, 2009.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 60H99.

*Key words and phrases:*  $B$ -derivative, temporal derivative, global limit.

If  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ , we called it *Stratonovich stochastic differential equality* and we wrote

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_p, dX_1, \dots, dX_k) \stackrel{S}{=} 0.$$

If  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  and  $X: \infty \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a stochastic process and there exist right-continuous functions  $u: \infty \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v: \infty \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$dX \stackrel{\theta}{=} udt + vdB,$$

we said in [1] that  $X$  is  $\theta$ -differentiable. We called  $u$  the *temporal  $\theta$ -derivative* of  $X$  and we denoted it by  $D_t^\theta X$  and we called  $v$  the *B-derivative* of  $X$  and we denoted it by  $D_B X$ .

If  $\theta = 0$  we called  $X$  *Itô-differentiable* and we denoted the temporal derivative by  $D_t^I X$ . If  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$  we called  $X$  *Stratonovich-differentiable* and we denoted the temporal derivative by  $D_t^S X$ .

Using this point of view about stochastic differential equalities, unlike other authors (e.g. [2]), in paper [1] we could avoid the use of the stochastic integral to obtain some results from stochastic calculus, e.g. the Itô formula and  $\theta$ -formula (generalized Itô formula).

In this paper we introduce a new kind of limit called *global limit* and denoted abbreviated by  $\text{Lim}$ . We preserve the notation  $\lim$  for the classical limit. Then we show that the temporal derivative and the  $B$ -derivatives are limits of this new type and how to obtain stochastic differential equalities using  $\text{Lim}$ . A new proof for  $\theta$ -formula is given here, also using the global limit.

We will denote  $\Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma} = \theta_{ts} - \sigma_{ts}$  and  $\Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma} X = X(\theta_{ts}) - X(\sigma_{ts})$  for  $\theta, \sigma \in [0, 1]$ .

## 2. THE GLOBAL LIMIT

**Definition 2.1.** Let it be the functions  $f: ([0, \infty)^2 \setminus \{(s, s) \mid s \in [0, \infty)\}) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $g: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h: [0, \infty)^2 \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $h(t, s) = (t - s)(f(t, s) - g(s))$ ,  $t \neq s$ ,  $h(s, s) = 0$ . If  $h \stackrel{B}{=} 0$ , then we say that the *global limit* of  $f$  is  $g$  and we denote  $\text{Lim } f = g$ .

**Example 2.1.**  $\text{Lim} \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B_i)^2}{t - s} = 1$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

If we consider  $f_i: ([0, \infty)^2 \setminus \{(s, s) \mid s \in [0, \infty)\}) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_i(t, s) = \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B_i)^2}{t - s}$ ,  $g_i: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g_i(s) = 1$  for each  $s$  and  $h_i(t, s) = (\Delta_{ts} B_i)^2 - (t - s)$  for each  $t, s \in [0, \infty)$ , then  $h_i(t, s) = (t - s)(f_i(t, s) - g_i(s))$  for  $t \neq s$  and  $h_i(s, s) = 0$ . Since  $h_i \stackrel{B}{=} 0$ , the global limit of  $f_i$  is  $g_i$ .

**Example 2.2.**  $\text{Lim} \frac{\Delta_{ts} B_i \Delta_{ts} B_j}{t - s} = 0$  for each  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

If we consider  $f_{ij}: ([0, \infty)^2 \setminus \{(s, s) \mid s \in [0, \infty)\}) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_{ij}(t, s) = \frac{\Delta_{ts} B_i \Delta_{ts} B_j}{t - s}$ ,  $g_{ij}: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g_{ij}(s) = 0$  for each  $s$  and  $h_{ij}(t, s) = \Delta_{ts} B_i \Delta_{ts} B_j$  for each  $t, s \in [0, \infty)$ , then  $h_{ij}(t, s) = (t - s)(f_{ij}(t, s) - g_{ij}(s))$  for  $t \neq s$  and  $h_{ij}(s, s) = 0$ . Since  $h_{ij} \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ , the global limit of  $f_{ij}$  is  $g_{ij}$ .

**Example 2.3.** If  $f(t, s) = \left( \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B_1)^2}{t - s}, \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B_2)^2}{t - s}, \frac{\Delta_{ts} B_1 \Delta_{ts} B_2}{t - s} \right)$ ,  $t \neq s$ , then the global limit of  $f$  is  $(1, 1, 0)$  (i.e. the function  $g: \infty \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $g(s)(\omega) = (1, 1, 0)$  for each  $(s, \omega) \in [0, \infty) \times \Omega$ ).

It is an immediate consequence of 2.1 and 2.2.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X, Y: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow R$  be  $\theta$ -differentiable stochastic processes.

If  $f(t, s) = \frac{\Delta_{ts} X \Delta_{ts} Y}{t - s}$ ,  $t \neq s$ , then  $\text{Lim} f = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X D_{B_k} Y$ .

Since

$$\Delta_{ts} X \stackrel{B}{\equiv} D_t^\theta X(\theta_{ts})(t - s) + \sum_{\ell=1}^m D_{B_\ell} X(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B_\ell$$

and

$$\Delta_{ts} Y \stackrel{B}{\equiv} D_t^\theta Y(\theta_{ts})(t - s) + \sum_{\ell=1}^m D_{B_\ell} Y(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B_\ell,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (t - s) \left( f(t, s) - \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X(s) D_{B_k} Y(s) \right) &= \Delta_{ts} X \Delta_{ts} Y \\ &\quad - (t - s) \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X(s) D_{B_k} Y(s) \stackrel{B}{\equiv} \sum_{k=1}^m (D_{B_k} X(\theta_{ts}) D_{B_k} Y(\theta_{ts}) \\ &\quad - D_{B_k} X(s) D_{B_k} Y(s)) (\Delta_{ts} B_k)^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X(s) D_{B_k} Y(s) \left( (\Delta_{ts} B_k)^2 - (t - s) \right) \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let us suppose that*

$$f, f_1, f_2: ([0, \infty)^2 \setminus \{(s, s) \mid s \in [0, \infty)\}) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

and  $g, g_1, g_2: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- a) If  $\text{Lim} f = g_1$  and  $\text{Lim} f = g_2$ , then  $g_1 = g_2$ .
- b) If  $\lim_{t \searrow s} f(t, s) = g(s)$  for each  $s$  (as a limit of a real function), then  $\text{Lim} f = g$ .
- c) If  $\text{Lim} f_1 = g_1$  and  $\text{Lim} f_2 = g_2$ , then  $\text{Lim} (f_1 + f_2) = g_1 + g_2$ .
- d) If  $\lim_{t \searrow s} f_1(t, s) = g_1(s)$  for each  $s$  and  $\text{Lim} f_2 = g_2$ , then  $\text{Lim} (f_1 f_2) = g_1 g_2$ .

e) Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  and  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ . Then  $\text{Lim } f = g$  if and only if  $\text{Lim } f_i = g_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* a) Since  $h_1 \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$  and  $h_2 \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ , where  $h_1(t, s) = (t - s)(f(t, s) - g_1(s))$ ,  $t \neq s$ ,  $h_1(s, s) = 0$  and  $h_2(t, s) = (t - s)(f(t, s) - g_2(s))$ ,  $t \neq s$ ,  $h_2(s, s) = 0$ , it follows that  $h \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ , where  $h(t, s) = h_1(t, s) - h_2(t, s) = (t - s)(g_2(s) - g_1(s))$ . But this is possible only if  $g_1(s) = g_2(s)$  for each  $s$ .

b) Because  $\lim_{t \searrow s} (f(t, s) - g(s)) = 0$  for each  $s$ ,  $h \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ , where

$$h(t, s) = (f(t, s) - g(s))(t - s), \quad t \neq s, \quad h(s, s) = 0.$$

It means that  $\text{Lim } f = g$ .

c) Let

$$\begin{aligned} h(t, s) &= (t - s)(f_1(t, s) + f_2(t, s) - g_1(s) - g_2(s)) \\ &= (t - s)(f_1(t, s) - g_1(s)) + (t - s)(f_2(t, s) - g_2(s)) \\ &= h_1(t, s) + h_2(t, s), \end{aligned}$$

$t \neq s$ ,  $h(s, s) = 0$  and it follows that  $h \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ .

d) Let

$$\begin{aligned} h(t, s) &= (t - s)(f_1(t, s)f_2(t, s) - g_1(s)g_2(s)) \\ &= [(f_1(t, s) - g_1(s))g_2(s)](t - s) + f_1(t, s)[(t - s)(f_2(t, s) - g_2(s))], \end{aligned}$$

$t \neq s$ ,  $h(s, s) = 0$ . If we put  $h_1(t, s) = [(f_1(t, s) - g_1(s))g_2(s)](t - s)$ ,  $t \neq s$ ,  $h_1(s, s) = 0$  and  $h_2(t, s) = f_1(t, s)[(t - s)(f_2(t, s) - g_2(s))]$ ,  $t \neq s$ ,  $h_2(s, s) = 0$ , then  $h = h_1 + h_2$  and because  $h_1 \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ ,  $h_2 \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$  it follows that  $h \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ .

e) This is a direct consequence of the definition of global limit.  $\square$

### 3. TEMPORAL DERIVATIVE AND $B$ -DERIVATIVE AS GLOBAL LIMITS

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $X: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a stochastic process.

a) If  $X$  is  $\theta$ -differentiable and

$$r_{B_i} X(t, s) = \frac{\Delta_{ts} X \Delta_{ts} B_i}{t - s}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad r_t^\theta X(t, s) = \frac{\Delta_{ts} X - D_B X(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B}{t - s}, \quad t \neq s,$$

then

$$\text{Lim } r_{B_i} X = D_{B_i} X, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

and

$$\text{Lim } r_t^\theta X = D_t^\theta X.$$

b) If there exist right-continuous functions  $u: \infty \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $\text{Lim } r_v = u$ , where  $r_v(t, s) = \frac{\Delta_{ts} X - v(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B}{t - s}$ ,  $t \neq s$ , then  $X$  is  $\theta$ -differentiable and  $D_t^\theta X = u$ ,  $D_B X = v$ .

*Proof.* a) Let  $h_i(t, s) = (t - s) \left( \frac{\Delta_{ts} X \Delta_{ts} B_i}{t - s} - D_{B_i} X(s) \right)$ ,  $t \neq s$ ,  $h_i(s, s) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $H(t, s) = (t - s) \left( \frac{\Delta_{ts} X - D_B X(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B}{t - s} - D_t^\theta X(s) \right)$ ,  $t \neq s$ ,  $H(s, s) = 0$ . We have to show that  $h_1 \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0, \dots, h_m \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$  and  $H \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ .

Because  $\Delta_{ts} X = D_t^\theta X(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} + \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B_k + h_X(t, s)$ , where  $h_X \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(t, s) &= D_t^\theta X(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} \Delta_{ts} B_i + \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B_k \Delta_{ts} B_i + h_X(t, s) \Delta_{ts} B_i \\ &\quad - D_{B_i} X(s) \Delta_{ts} = D_t^\theta X(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} \Delta_{ts} B_i + \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^m D_{B_k} X(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B_k \Delta_{ts} B_i \\ &\quad + D_{B_i} X(\theta_{ts}) ((\Delta_{ts} B_i)^2 - \Delta_{ts}) + (D_{B_i} X(\theta_{ts}) - D_{B_i} X(s)) \Delta_{ts} + \Delta_{ts} B_i h_X(t, s) \end{aligned}$$

and  $H(t, s) = (D_t^\theta X(\theta_{ts}) - D_t^\theta X(s)) \Delta_{ts} + h_X(t, s)$ . Now it is obvious that  $h_i \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $H \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ .

b) If we take  $h(t, s) = (t - s) \left( \frac{\Delta_{ts} X - v(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B}{t - s} - u(s) \right)$ ,  $t \neq s$ ,  $h(s, s) = 0$ , then  $h \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$  and consequently if

$$\tilde{h}(t, s) = (t - s) \left( \frac{\Delta_{ts} X - v(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B}{t - s} - u(\theta_{ts}) \right) = h(t, s) - (u(\theta_{ts}) - u(s)) (t - s),$$

$t \neq s$ ,  $\tilde{h}(s, s) = 0$ , then  $\tilde{h} \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ . Further we obtain

$$\Delta_{ts} X = u(\theta_{ts})(t - s) + v(\theta_{ts}) (\Delta_{ts} B)^T + \tilde{h}(t, s)$$

and that is  $dX \stackrel{\theta}{=} u dt + v dB$ . □

**Remark 3.1.** Theorem 3.1 says that if we want to see if  $X$  is  $\theta$ -differentiable and to find the  $B$ -derivatives and the temporal derivative, we have to compute  $D_{B_i} X = \text{Lim } r_{B_i} X$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $D_t^\theta X = \text{Lim } r_t^\theta X$ .

If  $\theta = 0$  we denote  $r_t^I X$  and if  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$  we denote  $r_t^S X$ .

**Example 3.1.** Let  $m = 1$  and  $X(t) = \frac{1}{2} B^2(t)$ .

We have  $r_B X(t, s) = \frac{\Delta_{ts} \left( \frac{1}{2} B^2 \right) \Delta_{ts} B}{t - s} = f_1(t, s) \cdot f_2(t, s)$ ,  $t \neq s$ , where  $f_1(t, s) = \frac{B(t) + B(s)}{2}$ ,  $f_2(t, s) = \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B)^2}{t - s}$ . Since  $\lim_{t \searrow s} f_1(t, s) = B(s)$  for each  $s$  and  $\text{Lim } f_2 = 1$ ,

it follows that  $D_B X = \text{Lim } r_B X = B \cdot 1 = B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} r_t^\theta X(t, s) &= \frac{\Delta_{ts} \left( \frac{1}{2} B^2 \right) - B(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B}{t-s} = \frac{\Delta_{ts} \left( \frac{1}{2} B^2 \right) - B(s) \Delta_{ts} B}{t-s} - \frac{\Delta_{ts}^{\theta_0} B \Delta_{ts} B}{t-s} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B)^2}{t-s} - \theta \frac{(\Delta_{ts}^{\theta_0} B)^2}{\theta_{ts} - s} - \frac{\Delta_{ts}^{\theta_0} B \Delta_{ts}^{1\theta} B}{t-s}, \end{aligned}$$

$t \neq s$ . Let  $F_1(t, s) = \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B)^2}{t-s}$ ,  $F_2(t, s) = \frac{(\Delta_{ts}^{\theta_0} B)^2}{\theta_{ts} - s}$  and  $F_3(t, s) = \frac{\Delta_{ts}^{\theta_0} B \Delta_{ts}^{1\theta} B}{t-s}$ . Since  $\text{Lim } F_1 = 1$ ,  $\text{Lim } F_2 = 1$  and  $\text{Lim } F_3(t, s) = 0$ , it follows that  $D_t^\theta X = \text{Lim } r_t^\theta X = \frac{1}{2} - \theta$ . Then

$$dX \stackrel{\theta}{=} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \theta \right) dt + B dB.$$

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X(t) = tB(t)$ .

$r_B X(t, s) = \frac{(tB(t) - sB(s)) \Delta_{ts} B}{t-s} = t \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B)^2}{t-s} + B(s) \Delta_{ts} B$ ,  $t \neq s$ , and  $D_B X = \text{Lim } r_B X = s \cdot 1 + 0 = s$ .

$r_t^\theta X(t, s) = \frac{tB(t) - sB(s) - \theta_{ts} \Delta_{ts} B}{t-s} = B(t) - \theta \Delta_{ts} B$ ,  $t \neq s$ , and  $D_t^\theta X = \text{Lim } r_t^\theta X = B$ .

Then

$$dX \stackrel{\theta}{=} B dt + t dB.$$

**Example 3.3.** Let  $m = 1$  and  $X = (B_1^2 + \dots + B_m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} r_{B_i} X(t, s) &= \frac{\Delta_{ts} X \Delta_{ts} B_i}{t-s} = \frac{(X^2(t) - X^2(s)) \Delta_{ts} B_i}{(t-s)(X(t) + X(s))} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m (B_k^2(t) - B_k^2(s)) \Delta_{ts} B_i}{(t-s)(X(t) + X(s))} \\ &= \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^m \frac{B_k(t) + B_k(s)}{X(t) + X(s)} \cdot \frac{\Delta_{ts} B_k \Delta_{ts} B_i}{t-s} + \frac{B_i(t) + B_i(s)}{X(t) + X(s)} \cdot \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B_i)^2}{t-s}, \end{aligned}$$

$t \neq s$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Lim } r_{B_i} X &= \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^m \frac{B_k}{X} \cdot 0 + \frac{B_i}{X} \cdot 1 = \frac{B_i}{X}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \\
 r_t^I X(t, s) &= \frac{X(t) - X(s) - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_k(s)}{X(s)} \Delta_{ts} B_k}{t - s} = \frac{X(t)X(s) - \sum_{k=1}^m B_k(t)B_k(s)}{(t - s)X(s)} \\
 &= \frac{X^2(t)X^2(s) - \left( \sum_{k=1}^m B_k(t)B_k(s) \right)^2}{(t - s) \left( X(t)X(s) + \sum_{k=1}^m B_k(t)B_k(s) \right) X(s)} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (B_i(t)B_k(s) - B_k(t)B_i(s))^2}{(t - s) \left( X(t)X(s) + \sum_{k=1}^m B_k(t)B_k(s) \right) X(s)} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\Delta_{ts} B_i B_k(s) - \Delta_{ts} B_k B_i(s))^2}{(t - s) \left( X(t)X(s) + \sum_{k=1}^m B_k(t)B_k(s) \right) X(s)} \\
 &= \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\left( X(t)X(s) + \sum_{k=1}^m B_k(t)B_k(s) \right) X(s)} \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[ B_k^2(s) \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B_i)^2}{t - s} + B_i^2(s) \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B_k)^2}{t - s} - 2B_k(s)B_i(s) \frac{\Delta_{ts} B_i \Delta_{ts} B_k}{t - s} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

$t \neq s$ .

$$\text{Lim } r_t^I X = \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{B_k^2 + B_i^2}{2X^3} = \frac{m-1}{2X}.$$

Consequently

$$dX \stackrel{I}{=} \frac{m-1}{2X} dt + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{B_i}{X} dB_i.$$

**Example 3.4.** Let  $X = \exp(Y)$ ,  $Y(t) = ct + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k B_k(t)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} r_{B_i} X(t, s) &= \frac{\Delta_{ts} X \Delta_{ts} B_i}{t-s} = \frac{\Delta_{ts} \exp(Y)}{\Delta_{ts} Y} \cdot \frac{\Delta_{ts} Y \Delta_{ts} B_i}{t-s} \\ &= \frac{\Delta_{ts} \exp(Y)}{\Delta_{ts} Y} \left( c \Delta_{ts} B_i + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \frac{\Delta_{ts} B_k \Delta_{ts} B_i}{t-s} \right), \quad t \neq s. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Lim } r_{B_i} X = \exp(Y) \left( c \cdot 0 + \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^m \alpha_k \cdot 0 + \alpha_i \right) = \alpha_i X.$$

$$r_t^I X(t, s) = \frac{X(t) - X(s) - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k X(s) \Delta_{ts} B_k}{t-s} = X(s) \frac{\exp(\Delta_{ts} Y) - 1 - \Delta_{ts} Y + c \Delta_{ts}}{t-s},$$

$t \neq s$ . Using Taylor formula, we get

$$r_t^I X(t, s) = cX(s) + \frac{1}{2} X(s) \frac{(\Delta_{ts} Y)^2}{t-s} + \frac{1}{2} X(s) \frac{(\Delta_{ts} Y)^2 \omega(\Delta_{ts} Y)}{t-s},$$

where  $\omega$  is continuous and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \omega(x) = 0$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{ts} Y)^2 &= \left( c \Delta_{ts} + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \Delta_{ts} B_k \right)^2 = c^2 \Delta_{ts}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k^2 (\Delta_{ts} B_k)^2 \\ &\quad + 2c \Delta_{ts} \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \Delta_{ts} B_k + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \Delta_{ts} B_i \Delta_{ts} B_j \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_t^I X(t, s) &= cX(s) + \frac{1}{2} X(s) \left( c^2 \Delta_{ts}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k^2 \frac{(\Delta_{ts} B_k)^2}{t-s} + 2c \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \Delta_{ts} B_k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \frac{\Delta_{ts} B_i \Delta_{ts} B_j}{t-s} \right) (1 + \omega(\Delta_{ts} Y)), \quad t \neq s. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Lim } r_t^I X = \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k^2 \right) X$ . We obtain

$$dX \stackrel{I}{=} \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k^2 \right) X dt + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k X dB_k.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $X: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable stochastic process,  $\theta, \sigma \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\theta > \sigma$ , and  $f(t, s) = \frac{\Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma} X \Delta_{ts} B_k}{t-s}$ ,  $t \neq s$ . Then  $\text{Lim } f = (\theta - \sigma) D_{B_k} X$ .

*Proof.* The following equalities hold true

$$\begin{aligned} (t-s)(f(t,s) - (\theta - \sigma)D_{B_k}X) &= \Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma}X\Delta_{ts}B_k - (\theta - \sigma)(t-s)D_{B_k}X \\ &= D_t^I X(s)(\theta_{ts} - \sigma_{ts})\Delta_{ts}B_k + \sum_{\ell=1}^m D_{B_\ell}X(s)\Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma}B_\ell\Delta_{ts}B_k \\ &\quad + h(t,s)\Delta_{ts}B_k - (\theta - \sigma)(t-s)D_{B_k}X, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ . Since  $D_t^I X(s)(\theta_{ts} - \sigma_{ts})\Delta_{ts}B_k = D_t^I X(s)(\theta - \sigma)(t-s)\Delta_{ts}B_k \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ ,  $\sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq k}^m D_{B_\ell}X(s)\Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma}B_\ell\Delta_{ts}B_k \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$  and  $h(t,s)\Delta_{ts}B_k \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (t-s)(f(t,s) - (\theta - \sigma)D_{B_k}X) &\stackrel{B}{\equiv} D_{B_k}X(s)\Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma}B_k\Delta_{ts}B_k - (\theta - \sigma)(t-s)D_{B_k}X \\ &= D_{B_k}X(s)\Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma}B_k\Delta_{ts}^1B_k + D_{B_k}X(s)\Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma}B_k\Delta_{ts}^0B_k + D_{B_k}X(s)\left(\Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma}B_k\right)^2 \\ &\quad - (\theta - \sigma)(t-s)D_{B_k}X \stackrel{B}{\equiv} D_{B_k}X(s)\left[\left(\Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma}B_k\right)^2 - (\theta_{ts} - \sigma_{ts})\right] \stackrel{B}{\equiv} 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

**Consequence 3.1.** *Under the conditions of Lemma 3.1*

$$D_t^\sigma X = D_t^\theta X + (\theta - \sigma)\Delta_B X,$$

where  $\Delta_B X = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k}(D_{B_k}X)$ .

If we take Lim in both sides of the equality

$$r_t^\sigma X(t,s) = r_t^\theta X(t,s) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\Delta_{ts}^{\theta\sigma}(D_{B_k}X)\Delta_{ts}B_k}{t-s}$$

and we use Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$D_t^\sigma X = D_t^\theta X + (\theta - \sigma)\sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k}(D_{B_k}X).$$

#### 4. GENERALIZED ITÔ FORMULA ( $\theta$ -FORMULA)

In [1] we proved  $\theta$ -formula. In this section, using the global limit, we give another proof.

**Theorem 4.1** ( $\theta$ -formula). *Let  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and let  $X: \infty \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , be differentiable stochastic process. Then*

the following stochastic differential equality holds

$$dg(X) \stackrel{\theta}{=} \left( \nabla g(X) D_t^\theta X + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \theta \right) \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X) D_B X_i \cdot (D_B X_j)^T \right) dt + \nabla g(X) D_B X dB.$$

*Proof.* Using Taylor formula, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{ts} g(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X(s)) \Delta_{ts} X_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X(s)) \Delta_{ts} X_i \Delta_{ts} X_j \\ &\quad + \omega_s(X(t)) \sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta_{ts} X_i)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega_s$  is continuous and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow X(s)} \omega_s(x) = 0$ .  $X$  is differentiable, hence  $X$  is right-continuous and then  $\lim_{t \searrow s} \omega_s(X(t)) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} r_{B_k} g(X)(t, s) &= \frac{\Delta_{ts} g(X) \Delta_{ts} B_k}{t - s} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X(s)) r_{B_k} X_i(t, s) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X(s)) \Delta_{ts} X_i r_{B_k} X_j(t, s) \\ &\quad + \omega_s(X(t)) \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_{ts} X_i r_{B_k} X_i(t, s). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain  $v_k = \text{Lim } r_{B_k} g(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X) D_{B_k} X_i$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

For  $t \neq s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} r_v(t, s) &= \frac{\Delta_{ts} g(X) - \sum_{k=1}^m v_k(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B_k}{t - s} \\ &= \frac{1}{t - s} (\Delta_{ts} g(X) - \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X(\theta_{ts})) D_{B_k} X_i(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{t - s} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X(s)) \Delta_{ts} X_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X(s)) \Delta_{ts} X_i \Delta_{ts} X_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \omega_s(X(t)) \sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta_{ts} X_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X(\theta_{ts})) \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X_i(\theta_{ts}) \Delta_{ts} B_k \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X(s)) r_t^\theta X_i(t, s) - \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_{ts}^{\theta 0} \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X) \right) \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X_i(\theta_{ts}) \frac{\Delta_{ts} B_k}{t-s} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X(s)) \frac{\Delta_{ts} X_i \Delta_{ts} X_j}{t-s} + \omega_s(X(t)) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\Delta_{ts} X_i)^2}{t-s}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using again Taylor formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_{ts}^{\theta 0} \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X) \right) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X(s)) \Delta_{ts}^{\theta 0} X_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_\ell}(X(s)) \Delta_{ts}^{\theta 0} X_j \Delta_{ts}^{\theta 0} X_\ell \\
 &\quad + \omega_s^i(X(\theta_{ts})) \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \Delta_{ts}^{\theta 0} X_j \right)^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lim_{t \searrow s} \omega_s^i(t) = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_v(t, s) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X(s)) r_t^\theta X_i(t, s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X(s)) \frac{\Delta_{ts} X_i \Delta_{ts} X_j}{t-s} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X(s)) \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X_i(\theta_{ts}) \frac{\Delta_{ts}^{\theta 0} X_j \Delta_{ts} B_k}{t-s} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_\ell}(X(s)) \Delta_{ts}^{\theta 0} X_j \Delta_{ts}^{\theta 0} X_\ell \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X_i(\theta_{ts}) \frac{\Delta_{ts} B_k}{t-s} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_s^i(X(\theta_{ts})) \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \Delta_{ts}^{\theta 0} X_j \right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X_i(\theta_{ts}) \frac{\Delta_{ts} B_k}{t-s} \\
 &\quad + \omega_s(X(t)) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\Delta_{ts} X_i)^2}{t-s}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $f(t, s) = \frac{\Delta_{ts} X_i \Delta_{ts} X_j}{t-s}$  and  $g(t, s) = \frac{\Delta_{ts}^{\theta 0} X_j \Delta_{ts} B_k}{t-s}$ , then

$$\text{Lim } f = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X_i D_{B_k} X_j$$

(Example 2.4),  $\text{Lim } g = \theta D_{B_k} X_j$  (Lemma 3.1), and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Lim } r_v &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X) D_t^\theta X_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X) \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X_i D_{B_k} X_j \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X) \theta \sum_{k=1}^m D_{B_k} X_i D_{B_k} X_j,
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank professor Constantin Udriște for his suggestions and encouraging comments during the preparation of this work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bodnariu: *On the stochastic differential equalities*, J. Adv. Math. Stud., **3**(2010), No. 1, 19-32.
- [2] B. Oksendal: *Stochastic Differential Equations: an Introduction with Applications*, Springer, Berlin, 2000.

*University "Politehnica" of Bucharest  
Faculty of Applied Sciences  
Splaiul Independenței, No. 313, 060042 Bucharest, Romania  
E-mail address: m.bodnariu@gmail.com*