

NONEXISTENCE OF THE CONFORMALLY FLAT
PROJECTIVISED TANGENT BUNDLE OF A FINSLER SPACE
WITH THE CHERN-RUND CONNECTION

HIROSHI ENDO AND SHIGEO FUEKI

ABSTRACT. In a Riemannian manifold (M, g) K. Bang (e.g., [5] p. 141) showed that the tangent bundle TM with the Sasaki metric \bar{g} is conformally flat if and only if the base manifold (M, g) is flat, in which case (TM, \bar{g}) is flat. For this fact, we consider the conformally flat projectivised tangent bundle PTM with the Sasaki metric on a Finsler space (M, F) with the Chern-Rund connection. Then it is shown that there does not exist a conformally flat projectivised tangent bundle PTM on a Finsler Space (M, F) .

1. PRELIMINARY

Let M be an m -dimensional C^∞ manifold and x^i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) be local coordinates on M . It is said to be a Finsler manifold if the length s of any curve $t \mapsto (x^1(t), \dots, x^m(t))$ ($a \leq t \leq b$) is given by an integral

$$s = \int_a^b F \left(x^1(t), \dots, x^m(t), \frac{dx^1}{dt}, \dots, \frac{dx^m}{dt} \right) dt,$$

where F has the first-degree homogeneity with respect to $\frac{dx^i}{dt}$. Our convention for indices is as follows: Latin indices run from 1 to m (except m). Greek indices run from 1 to m . Greek indices with bar run from 1 to $m - 1$. A Finsler manifold M has a tangent bundle $\pi: TM \rightarrow M$. From TM we obtain the projectivised tangent bundle of M , PTM , by identifying the non-zero vectors differing from each other by a real factor, Geometrically PTM is the space of line elements on M . Then a non-zero tangent vector can be expressed as

$$X = y^i \partial_{x^i} \quad (y^i \text{ not all zero}),$$

where we shall write shortly ∂_{x^i} (resp. ∂_{y^i}) instead of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ (resp. $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$). The x^i, y^i are local coordinates on TM . They are also local coordinates on PTM with y^i being

Received: December 03, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C60, 53D10.

Key words and phrases: Finsler manifold (space), the projectivised tangent bundle, Chern-Rund connection, conformally flat, Sasaki type metric.

homogeneous coordinates (determined up to a real factor). We can consider PTM as the base manifold of the vector bundle p^*TM , pulled back with the canonical projection map $p: PTM \rightarrow M$ defined by $p(x^i, y^i) = (x^i)$. The fibers of p^*TM are the vector spaces of dimension m and the base manifold PTM is of dimension $2m-1$ (see [4] and [6]). From now on $f_{y^i}, f_{y^i y^j}, \dots$ etc. denote the partial derivative(s) of a smooth function f with respect to the coordinates y^i . Adopt a similar notation for the partial derivatives with respect to the coordinates x^i . From the first degree homogeneity of F , we have

$$y^i F_{y^i} = F$$

and

$$y^i F_{y^i y^j} = 0.$$

A differential form on PTM can be represented as one on TM provided the latter is invariant under rescaling in the y^i and yields zero when contracted with $y^i \partial_{y^i}$.

Then the Hilbert form

$$\omega = F_{y^i} dx^i$$

is intrinsically defined on PTM . Let

$$e_\alpha = u_\alpha^j \partial_{x^j}$$

be an orthonormal frame field on the bundle p^*TM , and

$$\omega^\alpha = v^\alpha_k dx^k$$

be its dual coframe, so that

$$(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = u_\alpha^\ell g_{\ell k} u_\beta^k = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$(e_\alpha, \omega^\beta) = \delta^\beta_\alpha. \quad (1.2)$$

(1.1) means the orthonormality condition with respect to the Finsler metric (positive definite).

$$\begin{aligned} G &= g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j = \left(\frac{1}{2} F^2 \right)_{y^i y^j} dx^i \otimes dx^j \\ &= (F F_{y^i y^j} + F_{y^i} F_{y^j}) dx^i \otimes dx^j \end{aligned}$$

defined intrinsically on PTM , and (1.2) is the duality condition, which is equivalent

$$v^\beta_k u_\alpha^k = \delta^\beta_\alpha.$$

We now distinguish the global section

$$e_m = \frac{y^i}{F} \partial_{x^i} := \ell^i \partial_{x^i}$$

and

$$\omega^m = F_{y^i} dx^i = \omega.$$

Then, taking the exterior derivative Hilbert form ω^m on PTM , we have ([6])

$$d\omega^m = \omega^{\bar{\alpha}} \wedge \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^m, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^m$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^m &= -u_{\bar{\alpha}}^i F_{y^i y^j} dy^j + \frac{u_{\bar{\alpha}}^i}{F} (F_{x^i} - y^j F_{y^i x^j}) \omega^m \\ &\quad + u_{\bar{\alpha}}^i u_{\bar{\beta}}^j F_{x^i y^j} \omega^{\bar{\beta}} + \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \omega^{\bar{\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

(see [6] about $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}$).

Define N^i_j and δy^j as follows:

$$N^i_j = \frac{1}{F} G^i_{y^j}, \quad \delta y^j = \frac{dy^j}{F} + N^j_k dx^k,$$

where G^i denotes

$$G^i = g^{i\ell} \left\{ y^s \left(\frac{1}{2} F^2 \right)_{y^\ell x^s} - \left(\frac{1}{2} F^2 \right)_{x^\ell} \right\}.$$

Moreover, we see that the dual orthonormal vectors in $T(TM \setminus 0)$ to the basis set $\omega^\alpha = v^\alpha_j dx^j$ and $\omega_m^\alpha = v^\alpha_j \delta y^j$ in $T^*(TM \setminus 0)$ are given by

$$\widehat{e}_\alpha = u_\alpha^j \delta_{x^j} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\widehat{e}_{m+\alpha} = u_\alpha^j \delta_{y^j}, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$\delta_{x^i} := \partial_{x^i} - FN^j_i \partial_{y^j}$$

and

$$\delta_{y^i} := F \partial_{y^i}.$$

The set $\{\delta_{x^i}, \delta_{y^i}\}$ is naturally dual to the set $\{dx^i, \delta y^i\}$, and these form local bases for $T(TM \setminus \{0\})$ and $T^*(TM \setminus \{0\})$, respectively.

On the other hand, concerning the connection on M , S. S. Chern ([4] and [6]) proved the following theorem, however, afterwards, M. Anastasiei [1] proved that the Rund connection coincides with the Chern connection. So we have the following:

Theorem 1.1 (Chern-Rund). *There exists a torsion-free and an almost metric-comparability linear connection $p^*TM \rightarrow PTM$, that is the Chern-Rund connection*

$$D: \Gamma(p^*TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(p^*TM \otimes PTM),$$

given by $De_\alpha = \omega_\alpha^\beta e_\beta$, $\omega_m^m = 0$, that is $d\omega^\alpha = \omega^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^\alpha$ and

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta} + \omega_{\beta\alpha} = -2A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \omega_m^\gamma. \tag{1.6}$$

In particular

$$\omega_\alpha^m + \omega_m^\alpha = 0, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \omega_\alpha^\gamma \delta_{\gamma\beta}$ and the Cartan tensor $A = A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \omega^\alpha \otimes \omega^\beta \otimes \omega^\gamma$ is given by

$$A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{F}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} F^2 \right)_{y^i y^j y^k} u_\alpha^i u_\beta^j u_\gamma^k.$$

Here we define the Chern-Rund connection in natural coordinates as follows:

$$D: \Gamma(p^*TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(p^*TM \otimes T^*(TM \setminus 0))$$

given by

$$D\partial_{x^i} = \omega_i^j \partial_{x^j},$$

where ω_i^j are the components of the connection matrix in natural coordinates. Since the Chern-Rund connection is torsion-free, we can see that (see [4] and [6])

$$dx^i \wedge \omega_i^j = 0, \quad (1.8)$$

which is equivalent to the torsion-free condition of the Chern-Rund connection in natural coordinates (see [3], [7], [8] and [9] for another connections of Finsler Geometry, and [2], [3] and [8] for the interesting application of Finsler Geometry). Wedge product of ω_i^j and dx^i is zero in (1.8), so they are linearly dependent. We can write ω_i^j in terms of dx^i as

$$\omega_i^j = \Gamma_{i\ell}^j dx^\ell,$$

where the quantities

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i = \frac{g^{is}}{2} (\delta_{x^k} g_{sj} - \delta_{x^s} g_{jk} + \delta_{x^j} g_{ks})$$

are called the Christoffel symbols of the first. Then we obtain

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i \ell^j = N_k^i. \quad (1.9)$$

Here we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1. *The dual orthonormal vectors (in $T(PTM)$) to the basis set $\omega^\alpha = v^\alpha_j dx^j$ and $\omega_{m\bar{\alpha}} = v_{\bar{\alpha}}^j dy^j$ (in $T^*(PTM)$) are given by*

$$\tilde{e}_\alpha = u_\alpha^j \partial_{x^j} \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}} = u_{\bar{\alpha}}^j \delta_{y^j}. \quad (1.11)$$

Proof. By using the Cartan formula, we obtain the following Lie bracket (cf. [4]):

$$[\delta_{x^k}, \delta_{y^\ell}] = \left\{ \dot{A}_{k\ell}^i + \frac{\ell^i}{F} (FF_{y^k})_{x^\ell} - \ell^i N_{k\ell} \right\} \delta_{y^i}, \quad (1.12)$$

where the quantities $\dot{A}_{k\ell}^i$ are

$$\dot{A}_{k\ell}^i := \left(\delta_{x^s} A_{k\ell}^i + A_{k\ell}^h \Gamma_{hs}^i - A_{h\ell}^i \Gamma_{ks}^h - A_{kh}^i \Gamma_{\ell s}^h \right) \ell^s.$$

On the other hand, by straightforward calculations we obtain

$$[\delta_{x^k}, \delta_{y^\ell}] = \frac{1}{2} G_{y^k y^\ell}^i \delta_{y^i} = \left\{ \dot{A}_{k\ell}^i + \Gamma_{k\ell}^i \right\} \delta_{y^i}. \quad (1.13)$$

On PTM , there are the quantities which are homogeneous of degree zero in the y^i . Let f be a smooth function on PTM . Using the Euler's theorem, we have

$$\ell^i \delta_{y^i}(f) = y^i f_{y^i} = 0. \quad (1.14)$$

From (1.12) and (1.14), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta_{x^k}, \delta_{y^\ell}](f) &= \left\{ \dot{A}^i_{k\ell} + \frac{\ell^i}{F}(FF_{y^k})_{x^\ell} - \ell^i N_{k\ell} \right\} \delta_{y^i}(f) \\ &= \dot{A}^i_{k\ell} \delta_{y^i}(f). \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

On the other hand, by (1.13), we have

$$[\delta_{x^k}, \delta_{y^\ell}](f) = \dot{A}^i_{k\ell} \delta_{y^i}(f) + \Gamma^i_{k\ell} \delta_{y^i}(f). \quad (1.16)$$

(1.15) and (1.16) imply

$$\Gamma^i_{k\ell} \delta_{y^i}(f) = 0. \quad (1.17)$$

By (1.9) and (1.17), we obtain

$$N^i_j \delta_{y^i}(f) = \ell^k \Gamma^i_{kj} \delta_{y^i}(f) = 0. \quad (1.18)$$

Hence, by (1.4), (1.5) and (1.18), we have (1.10) and (1.11). \square

Here, on the manifold PTM , we consider a natural Riemannian metric (a Sasaki type metric on $TM \setminus \{0\}$)

$$g^s = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j + g_{ij} \delta y^i \otimes \delta y^j. \quad (1.19)$$

For $\{\tilde{e}_\alpha$ (resp. ω^α), $\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}$ (resp. $\omega_m^{\bar{\alpha}}$) in $T(PTM)$ (resp. $T^*(PTM)$) $\}$, we can rewrite (1.19) as

$$g^s = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^\alpha \otimes \omega^\beta + \delta_{m+\bar{\alpha} m+\bar{\beta}} \omega_m^{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_m^{\bar{\beta}}. \quad (1.20)$$

Concerning the orthonormal frame field $\{\tilde{e}_\alpha, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}\}$ on PTM in Lemma 1.1, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1.2. *The Lie brackets for the orthonormal vectors $\tilde{e}_\alpha, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}$ are as follows:*

$$[\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}}] = \left(\omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) - \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}}) \right) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \quad (1.21)$$

$$[\tilde{e}_\alpha, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}] = \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(e_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} - \omega_\alpha^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \quad (1.22)$$

$$[\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}] = \left(\omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) - \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}) \right) \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \quad (1.23)$$

in particular,

$$[\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m] = -\omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} \quad (1.24)$$

and

$$[\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}] = \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} - \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}. \quad (1.25)$$

Moreover the following formulas hold good:

$$\nabla_{\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}} = \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} + A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \quad (1.26)$$

$$\nabla_{\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}} = \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \tilde{e}_{\gamma} + A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma} \tilde{e}_{\gamma}, \quad (1.27)$$

$$\nabla_{\tilde{e}_{\alpha}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}} = A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma} \tilde{e}_{\gamma} + \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_{\alpha}) \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \quad (1.28)$$

$$\nabla_{\tilde{e}_{\alpha}} \tilde{e}_{\beta} = \omega_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\tilde{e}_{\alpha}) \tilde{e}_{\gamma} - A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \quad (1.29)$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on PTM with respect to g^s .

Proof. Let f be a smooth function on PTM. By the definition of Lie bracket and

$$\omega_{\alpha}^{\beta} = v^{\beta}_i (du_{\alpha}^i + u_{\alpha}^j \omega_j^i) = v^{\beta}_i (du_{\alpha}^i + u_{\alpha}^j \Gamma_{jk}^i dx^k),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}}](f) &= [u_{\bar{\alpha}}^i \partial_{x^i}, u_{\bar{\beta}}^j \partial_{x^j}](f) \\ &= u_{\bar{\alpha}}^i u_{\bar{\beta}}^j [\partial_{x^i}, \partial_{x^j}](f) + u_{\bar{\alpha}}^i (\partial_{x^i} u_{\bar{\beta}}^j) \partial_{x^j}(f) - u_{\bar{\beta}}^j (\partial_{x^j} u_{\bar{\alpha}}^i) \partial_{x^i}(f) \\ &= (u_{\bar{\alpha}}^j (\partial_{x^j} u_{\bar{\beta}}^i) - u_{\bar{\beta}}^j (\partial_{x^j} u_{\bar{\alpha}}^i)) \partial_{x^i}(f) \\ &= (u_{\gamma}^i \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) - u_{\gamma}^i \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\gamma}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})) v^{\delta}_i \tilde{e}_{\delta}(f) \\ &= (\omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) - \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\gamma}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})) \tilde{e}_{\gamma}(f), \end{aligned}$$

from which (1.21) holds. Similarly, we have (1.22) and (1.23).

Using (1.6), (1.22) and (1.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2g^s(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}, \tilde{e}_{\gamma}) &= g^s([\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}], \tilde{e}_{\gamma}) - g^s([\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}, \tilde{e}_{\gamma}], \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \\ &\quad + g^s([\tilde{e}_{\gamma}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}], \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}) \\ &= \omega_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(\tilde{e}_{\gamma}) + \omega_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(\tilde{e}_{\gamma}) = -2A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\delta} \omega_m^{\delta}(\tilde{e}_{\gamma}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2g^s(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}) &= g^s([\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}], \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}) - g^s([\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}], \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \\ &\quad + g^s([\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}], \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}) \\ &= \omega_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) - \omega_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}) - \omega_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\alpha}}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}) \\ &\quad + \omega_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}) + \omega_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}) - \omega_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \\ &= 2\omega_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) + 2A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have (1.26). Similarly we get (1.27), (1.28) and (1.29). \square

Using Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\nabla_X \tilde{e}_m = \sum_{\bar{\alpha}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}} \quad (1.30)$$

for any $X \in \chi(PTM)$, from which,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}(X, Y)\tilde{e}_m &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y \tilde{e}_m - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \tilde{e}_m - \nabla_{[X, Y]}\tilde{e}_m \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} \{g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{\alpha})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{m+\beta}) \\ &\quad - g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{\alpha})g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{m+\beta})\} A^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}\end{aligned}\quad (1.31)$$

for any $X, Y \in \chi(PTM)$, where \tilde{R} is the curvature tensor on PTM with respect to g^s .

By being torsion-free, we have

$$\nabla_{\tilde{e}_m} X = \sum_{\bar{\alpha}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}} + [\tilde{e}_m, X] \quad (1.32)$$

for any $X \in \chi(PTM)$.

Finally, the curvature form of the Chern-Rund connection is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\beta}^{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2}R_{\beta}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\delta}\omega^{\gamma} \wedge \omega^{\delta} + P_{\beta}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\bar{\epsilon}}\omega^{\gamma} \wedge \omega_m^{\bar{\epsilon}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}R_{\beta}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\delta}\omega^{\gamma} \wedge \omega^{\delta} + P_{\beta}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\bar{\epsilon}}\omega^{\gamma} \wedge \omega^{m+\bar{\epsilon}},\end{aligned}\quad (1.33)$$

where $R_{\beta}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\delta}$ and $P_{\beta}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\bar{\epsilon}}$ are the first and second Chern curvature tensors on M respectively.

2. THE CURVATURE TENSOR \tilde{R} ON PTM

For the curvature tensor \tilde{R} on PTM with respect to Sasaki type metric g^s , we have two following lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. *For the curvature tensor \tilde{R} on PTM with respect to g^s we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}} &= R_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}{}_{m\bar{\alpha}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} - \dot{A}^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} - A^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} \\ &\quad + \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m)A^{\bar{\delta}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} + \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m)A^{\bar{\delta}}_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}},\end{aligned}\quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{\alpha})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}} &= R_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}{}_{m\bar{\alpha}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} + \dot{A}^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} + A^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} \\ &\quad - \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m)A^{\bar{\delta}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} - \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m)A^{\bar{\delta}}_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}},\end{aligned}\quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}} &= P_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}{}_{m\bar{\alpha}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} + \dot{A}^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} + A^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} \\ &\quad - \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m)A^{\bar{\delta}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} - \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m)A^{\bar{\delta}}_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} - A^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}},\end{aligned}\quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\alpha})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}} &= P_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}{}_{m\bar{\alpha}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} + \dot{A}^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} + A^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} \\ &\quad - \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m)A^{\bar{\delta}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} - \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m)A^{\bar{\delta}}_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} + A^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.4)$$

Proof. We show (2.1). From (1.32), (1.29), (1.24), (1.25) and (1.33) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_\alpha)\tilde{e}_\beta &= \\
&= \nabla_{\tilde{e}_m} \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \tilde{e}_\beta - \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \nabla_{\tilde{e}_m} \tilde{e}_\beta - \nabla_{[\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_\alpha]} \tilde{e}_\beta \\
&= \sum_{\bar{\gamma}} g^s(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \tilde{e}_\beta, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} + [\tilde{e}_m, \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \tilde{e}_\beta] \\
&\quad - \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{\bar{\gamma}} g^s(\tilde{e}_\beta, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} + [\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_\beta] \right\} - \nabla_{[\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_\alpha]} \tilde{e}_\beta \\
&= -A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} + [\tilde{e}_m, \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} - A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}] \\
&\quad - \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} (\omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}) - \omega_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \tilde{e}_\beta \\
&= -A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} + \tilde{e}_m (\omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}) - \tilde{e}_m (A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}) + \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_\alpha) [\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}] \\
&\quad - A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} [\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}] - \tilde{e}_\alpha (\omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m)) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} - \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} - \omega_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \tilde{e}_\beta \\
&= -A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} + \tilde{e}_m (\omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}) - \dot{A}_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} + \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} \\
&\quad - A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m) \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} + A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} - \tilde{e}_\alpha (\omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m)) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} - \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} \\
&\quad + \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) A_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} - \omega_{\alpha}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m) \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} + \omega_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) A_{\bar{\gamma}\beta}^{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} \\
&= d\omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} + \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}([\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_\alpha]) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} - \dot{A}_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} + \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} \\
&\quad - A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m) \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} - \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} \\
&\quad + \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) A_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} - \omega_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} + \omega_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) A_{\bar{\gamma}\beta}^{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} \\
&= \Omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} + \omega_{\beta}^{\epsilon} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} - \dot{A}_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} + \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} \\
&\quad - A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m) \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} - \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\delta}} \\
&\quad + \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) A_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} + \omega_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) A_{\bar{\gamma}\beta}^{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} R_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}{}_{\epsilon\delta} \omega^{\epsilon} \wedge \omega^{\delta}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} + P_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}{}_{\epsilon\delta} \omega^{\epsilon} \wedge \omega^{\delta}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} - \dot{A}_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} \\
&\quad - A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m) \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} + \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) A_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} + \omega_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) A_{\bar{\gamma}\beta}^{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} \\
&= R_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}{}_{m\alpha} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} - \dot{A}_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} - A_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}} \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_m) \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} \\
&\quad + \omega_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) A_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} + \omega_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}(\tilde{e}_m) A_{\bar{\gamma}\beta}^{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4). \square

Proof. First we show (2.5). From (1.28) and (1.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\beta} \tilde{e}_\gamma &= \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} (\omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta) \tilde{e}_\delta - A_{\beta\gamma}^\delta \tilde{e}_{m+\delta}) \\
&= \tilde{e}_\alpha (\omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta)) \tilde{e}_\delta + \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta) \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \tilde{e}_\delta - \tilde{e}_\alpha (A_{\beta\gamma}^\delta) \tilde{e}_{m+\delta} - A_{\beta\gamma}^\delta \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \tilde{e}_{m+\delta} \\
&= \tilde{e}_\alpha (\omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta)) \tilde{e}_\delta + \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta) \omega_\delta^\nu(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_\nu - \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) A_{\alpha\delta}^\nu \tilde{e}_{m+\nu} \\
&\quad - \tilde{e}_\alpha (A_{\beta\gamma}^\delta) \tilde{e}_{m+\delta} - A_{\beta\gamma}^\delta A_{\alpha\delta}^\nu \tilde{e}_\nu - A_{\beta\gamma}^\delta \omega_\delta^\nu(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{m+\nu}, \tag{2.11}
\end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned}
-\nabla_{\tilde{e}_\beta} \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \tilde{e}_\gamma &= -\tilde{e}_\beta (\omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha)) \tilde{e}_\delta - \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \omega_\delta^\nu(\tilde{e}_\beta) \tilde{e}_\nu + \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) A_{\beta\delta}^\nu \tilde{e}_{m+\nu} \\
&\quad - \tilde{e}_\beta (A_{\alpha\gamma}^\delta) \tilde{e}_{m+\delta} + A_{\alpha\gamma}^\delta A_{\beta\delta}^\nu \tilde{e}_\nu + A_{\alpha\gamma}^\delta \omega_\delta^\nu(\tilde{e}_\beta) \tilde{e}_{m+\nu}. \tag{2.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (1.21) and (1.29) we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\nabla_{[\tilde{e}_\alpha, \tilde{e}_\beta]} \tilde{e}_\gamma &= -(\omega_\beta^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) - \omega_\alpha^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta)) \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\delta} \tilde{e}_\gamma \\
&= -(\omega_\beta^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) - \omega_\alpha^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta)) (\omega_\gamma^\nu(\tilde{e}_\delta) \tilde{e}_\nu - A_{\delta\gamma}^\nu \tilde{e}_{m+\nu}) = -\omega_\beta^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \omega_\gamma^\nu(\tilde{e}_\delta) \tilde{e}_\nu \\
&\quad + \omega_\alpha^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta) \omega_\gamma^\nu(\tilde{e}_\delta) \tilde{e}_\nu + \omega_\beta^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) A_{\delta\gamma}^\nu \tilde{e}_{m+\nu} - \omega_\alpha^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta) A_{\delta\gamma}^\nu \tilde{e}_{m+\nu}. \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we get, by (1.33),

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}_\alpha (\omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta)) \tilde{e}_\delta - \tilde{e}_\beta (\omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha)) \tilde{e}_\delta &= d\omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha, \tilde{e}_\beta) \tilde{e}_\delta + \omega_\gamma^\delta([\tilde{e}_\alpha, \tilde{e}_\beta]) \tilde{e}_\delta \\
&= \Omega_{\gamma}^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha, \tilde{e}_\beta) \tilde{e}_\delta + \omega_\gamma^\epsilon \wedge \omega_\epsilon^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha, \tilde{e}_\beta) \tilde{e}_\delta + \omega_\beta^\nu(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\nu) \tilde{e}_\delta - \omega_\alpha^\nu(\tilde{e}_\beta) \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\nu) \tilde{e}_\delta \\
&= R_{\gamma}^\delta{}_{\alpha\beta} + \omega_\gamma^\nu(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \omega_\nu^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta) \tilde{e}_\delta - \omega_\gamma^\nu(\tilde{e}_\beta) \omega_\nu^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_\delta \\
&\quad + \omega_\beta^\nu(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\nu) \tilde{e}_\delta - \omega_\alpha^\nu(\tilde{e}_\beta) \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\nu) \tilde{e}_\delta. \tag{2.14}
\end{aligned}$$

By means of (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain (2.5).

Similarly we prove (2.6).

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\beta} \tilde{e}_{m+\gamma} &= \tilde{e}_\alpha (A_{\beta\gamma}^\delta) \tilde{e}_\delta + A_{\beta\gamma}^\delta \omega_\delta^\nu(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_\nu - A_{\beta\gamma}^\delta A_{\alpha\delta}^\nu \tilde{e}_{m+\nu} \\
&\quad + \tilde{e}_\alpha (\omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta)) \tilde{e}_{m+\delta} + \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta) A_{\alpha\delta}^\nu \tilde{e}_\nu + \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta) \omega_\delta^\nu(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tilde{e}_{m+\nu}, \tag{2.15}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\nabla_{\tilde{e}_\beta} \nabla_{\tilde{e}_\alpha} \tilde{e}_{m+\gamma} &= -\tilde{e}_\beta (A_{\alpha\gamma}^\delta) \tilde{e}_\delta - A_{\alpha\gamma}^\delta \omega_\delta^\nu(\tilde{e}_\beta) \tilde{e}_\nu + A_{\alpha\gamma}^\delta A_{\beta\delta}^\nu \tilde{e}_{m+\nu} - \tilde{e}_\beta (\omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha)) \tilde{e}_{m+\delta} \\
&\quad - \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) A_{\beta\delta}^\nu \tilde{e}_\nu - \omega_\gamma^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \omega_\delta^\nu(\tilde{e}_\beta) \tilde{e}_{m+\nu}, \tag{2.16}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\nabla_{[\tilde{e}_\alpha, \tilde{e}_\beta]} \tilde{e}_{m+\gamma} &= -\omega_\beta^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) A_{\delta\gamma}^\nu \tilde{e}_\nu + \omega_\alpha^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta) A_{\delta\gamma}^\nu \tilde{e}_{m+\nu} \\
&= -\omega_\beta^\delta(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \omega_\gamma^\nu(\tilde{e}_\delta) \tilde{e}_{m+\nu} + \omega_\alpha^\delta(\tilde{e}_\beta) \omega_\gamma^\nu(\tilde{e}_\delta) \tilde{e}_{m+\nu}, \tag{2.17}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}(\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}}))\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} - \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}}(\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}))\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} &= \\
 &= R_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\delta}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} + \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\nu}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}})\omega_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} - \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\nu}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\omega_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} \\
 &\quad + \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\nu}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}})\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\nu}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}} - \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\nu}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\nu}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\delta}}. \tag{2.18}
 \end{aligned}$$

By means of (2.15), (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18), we obtain (2.6). Similarly we get (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10). \square

3. MAIN THEOREM

First we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *If the projectivised tangent bundle PTM of an m -dimensional Finsler space (M, F) with the Chern-Rund connection has the Sasaki type metric g^s as a Riemannian manifold, then the base manifold (M, F) is Riemannian and the (first) curvature tensor R of (M, F) satisfies*

$$R_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}\bar{\nu}} = \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}\delta_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\nu}} - \delta_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\nu}} \text{ and } R_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}m\bar{\gamma}} = 0.$$

Moreover the curvature tensor \tilde{R} on PTM can be given by

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m &= \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m = \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m = 0, \\
 \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}} &= \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}} = 0, \\
 \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}} &= \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}} = 0, \\
 \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} &= 0, \\
 \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} &= \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} = 0, \\
 \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}} &= \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}} - \delta_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \\
 \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} &= \tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}} = \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}} - \delta_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}.
 \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. By means of (2.8), we have

$$g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_m) = A_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}. \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, from (1.31), it follows that

$$g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_m) = -g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}) = g^s(A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\epsilon}}\tilde{e}_{\bar{\epsilon}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Hence we can see that (3.2) and (3.3) imply

$$A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

that is, the Cartan tensor vanishes.

From

$$g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\nu}}) = g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\nu}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}}),$$

(2.6) and (2.9), we get

$$R_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\nu}\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = -\delta_{\bar{\nu}\bar{\alpha}}\delta_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}} + \delta_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\alpha}}\delta_{\bar{\nu}\bar{\beta}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Since we also have

$$g^s(\widetilde{R}(X, Y)\widetilde{e}_m, Z) = g^s(\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{e}_m, Z)X, Y),$$

by (1.31), (2.1) and (3.4), we obtain

$$R_{\widetilde{\beta}\widetilde{\gamma}m\widetilde{\alpha}} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

From the Cartan tensor $A = 0$, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2, (1.33), (3.5) and (3.6), it follows that (3.1). This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.1. *In Theorem 3.1, we assume that $m = 2$, i.e., PTM is a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Sasaki type metric g^s . Then PTM is flat.*

Proof. From (3.1), it follows that the curvature tensor \widetilde{R} on PTM vanishes. \square

From Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.1. *For any vector fields $X, Y \in T(PTM)$, the Ricci tensor \widetilde{Ric} (Ricci operator \widetilde{Q}) and the scalar curvature tensor \widetilde{r} with respect to g^s on PTM are as follows :*

$$g^s(\widetilde{Q}X, Y) := \widetilde{Ric}(X, Y) = \sum_{\widetilde{\alpha}} \sum_{\widetilde{\beta}} \sum_{\widetilde{\gamma}} g^s(X, \widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\beta}})g^s(Y, \widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\gamma}}) \left\{ \delta_{\widetilde{\alpha}\widetilde{\beta}}\delta_{\widetilde{\alpha}\widetilde{\gamma}} - \delta_{\widetilde{\beta}\widetilde{\gamma}} \right\}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\widetilde{r} = -(m-1)(m-2) \quad (\text{non-positive constant}). \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. The Ricci tensor $\widetilde{Ric}(X, Y)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Ric}(X, Y) &= g^s(\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{e}_m, X)Y, \widetilde{e}_m) + \sum_{\widetilde{\alpha}} g^s(\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\alpha}}, X)Y, \widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\alpha}}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\widetilde{\alpha}} g^s(\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{e}_{m+\widetilde{\alpha}}, X)Y, \widetilde{e}_{m+\widetilde{\alpha}}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

So, we will calculate $g^s(\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{e}_m, X)Y, \widetilde{e}_m)$, $\sum_{\widetilde{\alpha}} g^s(\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\alpha}}, X)Y, \widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\alpha}})$ and $\sum_{\widetilde{\alpha}} g^s(\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{e}_{m+\widetilde{\alpha}}, X)Y, \widetilde{e}_{m+\widetilde{\alpha}})$. By (3.1), we have

$$g^s(\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{e}_m, X)Y, \widetilde{e}_m) = -g^s(\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{e}_m, X)\widetilde{e}_m, Y) = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Next we calculate $\sum_{\widetilde{\alpha}} g^s(\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\alpha}}, X)Y, \widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\alpha}})$. Putting

$$X = \sum_{\widetilde{\beta}} g^s(X, \widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\beta}})\widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\beta}} + g^s(X, \widetilde{e}_m)\widetilde{e}_m + \sum_{\widetilde{\beta}} g^s(X, \widetilde{e}_{m+\widetilde{\beta}})\widetilde{e}_{m+\widetilde{\beta}}$$

and

$$Y = \sum_{\widetilde{\gamma}} g^s(Y, \widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\gamma}})\widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{\gamma}} + g^s(Y, \widetilde{e}_m)\widetilde{e}_m + \sum_{\widetilde{\gamma}} g^s(Y, \widetilde{e}_{m+\widetilde{\gamma}})\widetilde{e}_{m+\widetilde{\gamma}},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\bar{\alpha}} g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, X)Y, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) &= \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}})g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) \\
&+ \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) + \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}) \\
&g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) + \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}})g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) \\
&+ \sum_{\bar{\alpha}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) + \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}) \\
&g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) + \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}})g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) \\
&+ \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) \\
&+ \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}})g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, using (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) &= R_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}} - \delta_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) &= -g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m) = 0, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) &= 0, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) &= g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m) = 0, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) &= -g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m) = 0, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) &= -g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m) = 0, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) &= 0, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) &= -g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m) = 0, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

so that, we get

$$\sum_{\bar{\alpha}} g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, X)Y, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}) = \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}) \left\{ \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}} - \delta_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}} \right\}. \quad (3.11)$$

Similarly it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\bar{\alpha}} g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, X)Y, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) &= \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}})g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \\
&+ \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) + \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}}) \\
&g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}})g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) + \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) + \sum_{\bar{\alpha}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \\
& + \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}})g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \\
& + \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}})g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \\
& + \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_m)g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \\
& + \sum_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(X, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})g^s(Y, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}})g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (3.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) &= -g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) = 0, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) &= -g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m) = 0, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) &= -g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) = 0, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) &= g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \\
&= g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_m)\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) = g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) \\
&= g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) = 0, \\
g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\gamma}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\sum_{\bar{\alpha}} g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}, X)Y, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Substituting (3.10) ~ (3.12) in (3.9), we get (3.7). Finally, we calculate the Scalar curvature tensor \tilde{r} on *PTM*. \tilde{r} is given by, from (3.7),

$$\tilde{r} = \sum_{\bar{\epsilon}} \widetilde{Ric}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\epsilon}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\epsilon}}) + \sum_{\bar{\epsilon}} \widetilde{Ric}(\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\epsilon}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\epsilon}}).$$

From (3.7), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{r} &= \sum_{\bar{\epsilon}} \widetilde{Ric}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\epsilon}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\epsilon}}) = \sum_{\bar{\epsilon}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}} g^s(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\epsilon}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})g^s(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\epsilon}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\gamma}}) \left\{ \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}} - \delta_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\bar{\epsilon}, \bar{\alpha}} \{ (\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\epsilon}})^2 - 1 \} = -(m-1)(m-2).
\end{aligned}$$

This is (3.8). □

From Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. *If the projectivised tangent bundle PTM of an m -dimensional ($m > 2$) Finsler space (M, F) with the Chern-Rund connection has the Sasaki type metric g^s , then there does not exist a conformally flat PTM on (M, F) .*

Proof. Assume that PTM is conformally flat. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g^s(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W) &= \\
 &= \frac{1}{2m-3} \left\{ g^s(\tilde{Q}Y, Z)g^s(X, W) - g^s(\tilde{Q}X, Z)g^s(Y, W) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + g^s(Y, Z)g^s(\tilde{Q}X, Y) - g^s(X, Z)g^s(\tilde{Q}Y, W) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\tilde{r}}{(2m-2)(2m-3)} \{g^s(Y, Z)g^s(X, W) - g^s(X, Z)g^s(Y, W)\}. \quad (3.13)
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}$ and $\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}$ into $X, Y, Z,$ and W in (3.13) (where $\bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\beta}$), we get

$$g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

On the other hand, from (3.1), we obtain

$$g^s(\tilde{R}(\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{e}_{\bar{\beta}})\tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\beta}}, \tilde{e}_{m+\bar{\alpha}}) = -1. \quad (3.15)$$

(3.14) and (3.15) lead us to the contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Anastasiei: *A historical remark on the connections of Chern and Rund*, Cont. Math., **196**(1996), 171-176.
- [2] P. L. Antonelli, R. S. Ingarden and M. Matsumoto: *The Theory of Sprays and Finsler Spaces with Applications in Physics and Biology*, FTPH58, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
- [3] P. L. Antonelli and R. Miron: *Lagrange and Finsler Geometry Applications to Physics and Biology*, Kluwer Press, 1996.
- [4] D. Bao, S. S. Chern and Z. Shen: *An introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry*, Vol 200 of Graduate Text in Mathematics (Springer-Verlag, New-York 2000).
- [5] D. E. Blair: *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Math. 203, Birkhäuser Boston, 2002.
- [6] S. S. Chern, W. H. Chen and K. S. Lam: *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, Series on University Mathematics-Vol 1, World Sci., 2000.
- [7] M. Matsumoto: *Foundations of Finsler Geometry and Special Finsler Spaces*, Kaiseisha Press, Japan, 1986.
- [8] R. Miron and M. Anastasiei: *Vector bundles and Lagrange spaces with applications to relativity*, Geometry Balkan Press, 1996.

- [9] H. Rund: *The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1959.

Utsunomiya University,
Department of Mechanical Eng.,
Yoto 7-1-2, Utsunomiya-shi 321-8585, Japan
E-mail address: hsk-endo@cc.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp

Tokoha Gakuen University,
Faculty of Education,
Sena 1-22-1, Shizuoka-shi 420-0911, Japan
E-mail address: s-fueki@tokoha-u.ac.jp